

# Spatial inter-comparison of Top-down emission inventories in European urban areas

Marco Trombetti, Philippe Thunis, Bertrand Bessagnet, Alain Clappier, Florian Couvidat, Marc Guevara, Jeroen Kuenen, Susana Lopez-Aparicio

## ▶ To cite this version:

Marco Trombetti, Philippe Thunis, Bertrand Bessagnet, Alain Clappier, Florian Couvidat, et al.. Spatial inter-comparison of Top-down emission inventories in European urban areas. Atmospheric Environment, 2018, 173, pp.142-156. 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.10.032 . ineris-01863858

# HAL Id: ineris-01863858 https://ineris.hal.science/ineris-01863858v1

Submitted on 29 Aug2018

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# 1 Spatial inter-comparison of Top-down emission inventories

## 2 in European urban areas

3

- 4 Marco Trombetti<sup>\*, 1</sup>
- 5 Philippe Thunis<sup>1</sup>
- 6 Bertrand Bessagnet<sup>2</sup>
- 7 Alain Clappier<sup>3</sup>
- 8 Florian Couvidat<sup>2</sup>
- 9 Marc Guevara<sup>4</sup>
- 10 Jeroen Kuenen<sup>5</sup>
- 11 Susana Lopez-Aparicio<sup>6</sup>
- 12
- 13 \* Corresponding Author: e-mail <u>marco.trombetti@ec.europa.eu</u>, Telephone +39- 0332 78 3873
- 14 <sup>1</sup>: European Commission, Joint Research Centre JRC, Directorate for Energy, Transport and Climate, Via Fermi
- 15 2749, 21027 Ispra (Va), Italy
- 16 <sup>2</sup>: INERIS, National Institute for Industrial Environment and Risks, Parc Technologique ALATA,
- 17 60550 Verneuil-en-Halatte, France
- 18 <sup>3</sup>: Université de Strasbourg, Laboratoire Image Ville Environnement, Strasbourg, France
- 19 <sup>4</sup>: Earth Sciences Department, Barcelona Supercomputing Center—Centro Nacional de Supercomputación,
- 20 C/Jordi Girona 29, Edificio Nexus II, 08034 Barcelona, Spain
- 21 <sup>5</sup>: TNO, Department of Climate, Air and Sustainability, P.O. Box 80015, 3508 TA Utrecht, The Netherlands
- <sup>6</sup>: NILU Norwegian Institute for Air Research, Instituttveien 18, 2007 Kjeller, Norway.

23

## 24 Abstract

25

This paper presents an inter-comparison of the main Top-down emission inventories 26 27 currently used for air quality modelling studies at the European level. The comparison is developed for eleven European cities and compares the distribution of emissions of NO<sub>x</sub>, 28 29 SO<sub>x</sub>, VOC and PPM<sub>2.5</sub> from the road transport, residential combustion and industry sectors. The analysis shows that substantial differences in terms of total emissions, sectorial emission 30 31 shares and spatial distribution exist between the datasets. The possible reasons in terms of 32 downscaling approaches and choice of spatial proxies are analysed and recommendations are 33 provided for each inventory in order to work towards the harmonisation of spatial

| 34       | downscaling and proxy calibration, in particular for policy purposes. The proposed               |
|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 35       | methodology may be useful for the development of consistent and harmonised European-             |
| 36       | wide inventories with the aim of reducing the uncertainties in air quality modelling activities. |
| 37       |                                                                                                  |
| 38       | Keywords: Top-down emission inventories, Urban areas, Emissions spatial distribution,            |
| 39       | Spatial inter-comparison                                                                         |
| 40       |                                                                                                  |
| 41       |                                                                                                  |
| 42       |                                                                                                  |
| 43       |                                                                                                  |
| 44       |                                                                                                  |
| 45       |                                                                                                  |
| 46       |                                                                                                  |
| 47       |                                                                                                  |
| 48       |                                                                                                  |
| 49       |                                                                                                  |
| 50<br>51 |                                                                                                  |
| 51<br>52 |                                                                                                  |
| 53       |                                                                                                  |
| 54       |                                                                                                  |
| 55       |                                                                                                  |
| 56       |                                                                                                  |
| 57<br>59 |                                                                                                  |
| 58<br>59 |                                                                                                  |
| 60       |                                                                                                  |
| 61       |                                                                                                  |
| 62       | 7                                                                                                |
| 63       |                                                                                                  |
| 64       |                                                                                                  |

### 65 **1. Introduction**

Emission inventories represent one of the key datasets required for air quality studies, but 66 67 they are often recognised as the most uncertain input in the modelling chain (Borge et al., 2014; Guevara et al., 2013; Thunis et al., 2016a; Viaene et al., 2013) as their accuracy greatly 68 69 varies with the type of pollutant, the activity and the level of spatial disaggregation (Davison 70 et al., 2011). In Europe, this is largely due to the fact that regional and local emission 71 inventories are managed and compiled by several different agencies which rely on different 72 standards, methods and categories. This may be understandable given the different 73 background and scope of the inventories, however it may yield to a heterogeneous and 74 inconsistent picture when collating these data for use in modelling at a larger scale 75 (continental and national levels). Furthermore, it is known that, in emission inventories, 76 different measurement methods are applied for the same sectors, e.g. residential combustion 77 which may result in emissions different up to a factor 5 (Denier van der Gon et al. 2015).

78 For this reason, there exist several top-down implementations that compile EU wide 79 inventories by downscaling national emissions data at a finer resolution: EDGAR (Crippa et 80 al., 2016; Janssens-Maenhout et al., in prep.), HTAP\_v2 (Janssens-Maenhout et al., 2015), 81 TNO-MACCII and MACCIII (Kuenen et al., 2014; Kuenen et al., 2015), E-PRTR (Theloke 82 et al., 2009, Theloke et al., 2012), JRC07 (Trombetti et al., 2017). These inventories are all 83 comparable in spatial (i.e. between ~10km x ~10km and ~7 km x ~7km) and temporal terms 84 (i.e. annual), geographical extent (i.e. European continent) and thematic resolution (sectors 85 and macro-sectors aggregation) but differences remain in terms of national total emission 86 estimates and/or spatial gridding methodologies. The first type of difference can be caused by 87 model settings, reporting of emission sources, gap filling approaches, assumptions or 88 arbitrary choices and has already been discussed for some inventories (Kuenen et al., 2014; 89 Granier et al, 2011).

For the second difference, spatial discrepancies mostly depend on methodological assumptions, proxies' availability and choice of the weighting methodology. The fact that all these inventories are developed at a high spatial resolution (~7 to ~10 km x km) reinforces this factor. As shown by Zheng et al. (2017), the spatial mismatch between gridded 94 inventories developed from different spatial proxies is largely diminished at coarse
95 resolutions (i.e. 25km x 25km) but tends to increase as grid size decreases (i.e. 4km x 4km).

96 These differences have often been overlooked and only studied for regional (i.e. sub-national) 97 inventories (Winiwarter et al., 2003; Vedrenne et al., 2016) while only a few cases at fine 98 scale have been published (Ferreira et al., 2013). These studies clearly stressed the 99 importance of the assumptions behind the underlying proxies, their level of detail and their 100 accuracy, to explain the very low spatial correlations found between target inventories. It is 101 important to note that these spatial variations have a strong impact on air quality modelling 102 results (Geng et al, 2017; Zhou et al., 2017), especially when the results are considered for 103 policy making and planning options. Top-down emission inventories are often being used as 104 input data for modelling activities at urban scale (Lopez-Aparicio et al., 2017); therefore, 105 particular attention should be given before choosing a specific dataset for this kind of 106 modelling activities.

107 To our knowledge, our study is the only existing spatial inter-comparison between emissions 108 inventories currently used at the European scale. Its novelty lies on defining the possible 109 uncertainties in the spatial proxies behind the disaggregation and allocations of emissions in 110 urban areas and, consequently, on reducing the propagation of errors to air quality models 111 and their applications.

This study assesses how a set of six EU wide emission inventories (i.e. EDGAR, 112 113 TNO MACCII, TNO MACCIII, INERISinv, EMEP, JRC07) behave in selected European 114 urban areas in terms of sectorial shares and regional allocation also through the application of 115 a novel approach, namely the diamond analysis (Thunis et al., 2016b), in order to estimate 116 systematically the spatial variability between them. This approach aims to contribute to increasing the reliability of emission inventories. We first describe the methodology and the 117 118 emission datasets used, before identifying the main differences for the selected urban areas. 119 Finally, recommendations to improve credibility for air quality modelling applications and 120 reduce the level of uncertainty are provided for each inventory.

121

### 122 **2. Methodology**

We focus our analysis on the way emissions of NO<sub>x</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, VOC and PPM<sub>2.5</sub> are spatially distributed by different European scale top-down inventories. For this reason, the comparison is not made in terms of absolute, but rather in terms of normalised emission values. The values attributed to each grid cell of coordinates *i* and *j* for the variable  $E_{p,s}^*$  represent the percentage of the total national emission for each emission pollutant "p" and sector "s", i.e.:

$$\forall s, \forall p: E_{s,p}^*(i,j) = \frac{E_{s,p}(i,j)}{E_{s,p}^{tot}}$$

130 where  $E_{s,p}^{tot}$  represents the country total emission for a given sector and pollutant. With this 131 normalisation, observed differences between inventories at a given grid cell do not depend on 132 the original national emission value, but instead depend on the downscaling methodology and 133 ancillary data used (Hiller et al., 2014).

The spatial analysis is performed for specific urban areas and for the main emission macro sectors: non-industrial combustion (SNAP02), industrial activities (SNAP03 and SNAP04, which are kept together in order to facilitate the comparison within inventories: SNAP34) and road transport (SNAP07). See the Supplementary Information (SI) for a description of the SNAP Macro Sectors (Table 1, SI).

The SNAP02 macro-sector consists of i) commercial / institutional stationary combustion; ii) residential combustion; iii) stationary combustion associated with agriculture, forestry or fishing; iv) other stationary. Given that the sector "ii) residential combustion" is the dominant one, the discussion in this paper focuses only on this subsector, hereafter referred to as 'Residential'.

144 Eleven cities (Barcelona, Bucharest, Budapest, Katowice, London, Madrid, Milano, Paris, 145 Sofia, Utrecht and Warsaw) were selected across Europe to represent the diversity of 146 environmental and anthropogenic factors (i.e. meteorology, economic activities, energy 147 system, population density and land use) over the continental domain; in particular, the 148 differences in Land Use cover reported in Table 2, SI, will affect the sectorial shares of 149 emissions in each study site. For each city, the study area covers approximately  $35 \times 35 \text{ km}^2$ , 150 including only whole grid cells without having to split or resample them. With the exception 151 of EDGAR, all inventories have similar spatial resolution and grid alignment, so it was 152 possible to define common study areas. The EDGAR inventory has a different spatial resolution and so an alternative definition of the study areas was created resembling the original one, while preserving the integrity of the selected grid pixels. The standard study site and the adjusted EDGAR one for each urban area are shown in the SI with the considered land use pattern (Figure 1, SI).

The assessment is supported by the analysis performed by means of the diamond approach (Thunis et al., 2016b), a novel method which, by using total emission ratios, allows the comparison of emission inventories and the identification of the likely cause (activity level or activity share) of differences between them. Given the normalisation by the country totals, the differences seen among inventories in terms of activity levels and share can be directly attributed to the spatial disaggregation methodology.

### 163 **2.1.Downscaled inventories**

We consider six European scale top-down inventories, with 2010 as reference year, unless mentioned otherwise. The selected emission inventories cover a wide and important range of applications, including regulatory purposes (e.g. EMEP), monitoring services (e.g. TNO-MACC, EDGAR) and integrated assessment (e.g. INERISinv, JRC07).

168

EDGAR version v4.3.1, January 2016 (European Commission, 2016a; Crippa et al., 169 170 2016), hereafter referred to as EDGAR. This inventory provides global emissions for 171 gaseous and particulate air pollutants (BC, CO, NH<sub>3</sub>, NMVOC, NO<sub>x</sub>, OC, PPM<sub>10</sub>, PPM<sub>2.5</sub>, 172 SO<sub>2</sub>) per IPCC sector (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) covering the whole time-series 1970-2010 at the global scale. Emissions are provided in tons of substance at 173 174 0.1 x 0.1 degree resolution. A highly detailed re-mapping of the sectors from the IPCC to 175 the SNAP nomenclature has been made to allow comparing with the other databases. The 176 simplified version of the mapping scheme from IPCC to SNAP codes is included in the SI 177 (Table 3) together with the detailed reclassification for a representative SNAP 178 MacroSector (SNAP04, Production Processes, Table 4, SI).

179

TNO-MACCII (Denier van der Gon et al., 2010; Kuenen et al., 2011; Kuenen et al.,
 2014), hereafter referred to as MACCII. The TNO emission inventory was developed for
 Europe by TNO for the years 2003-2009. It has a 1/8° longitude x 1/16° latitude

| 183   | resolution and covers NO <sub>x</sub> , SO <sub>2</sub> , NMVOC, NH <sub>3</sub> , CO, PPM <sub>10</sub> , PPM <sub>2.5</sub> and CH <sub>4</sub> . This |
|-------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 184   | dataset is not available for 2010, consequently the 2009 dataset has been used instead.                                                                  |
| 185 • | TNO-MACCIII (Kuenen et al., 2014; Kuenen et al., 2015; MACC-III Final Report,                                                                            |
| 186   | 2016), hereafter referred to as MACCIII. It is the updated version of the TNO-MACCII                                                                     |
| 187   | product, which extended the time-series from year 2000 to year 2011. All years were                                                                      |
| 188   | revisited and the spatial distribution proxies updated and improved, often based on user                                                                 |
| 189   | comments.                                                                                                                                                |
| 190   |                                                                                                                                                          |
| 191 • | INERISinv (hereafter referred to as INERIS): The INERIS inventory is based the work by                                                                   |
| 192   | Bessagnet et al., 2016 with the following changes for the Macro Sectors analyzed in this                                                                 |
| 193   | work.                                                                                                                                                    |
| 194   | MS34: The E-PRTR database is used for Large Point Sources of emissions (Mailler et al.,                                                                  |
| 195   | 2017)                                                                                                                                                    |
| 196   | MS07: Road transport emissions of all considered countries are distributed using a proxy                                                                 |
| 197   | based the combination of several databases and the French bottom-up emission inventory                                                                   |
| 198   | (Mailler et al., 2017)                                                                                                                                   |
| 199   | MS02: Residential combustion emissions are distributed based on population, land use                                                                     |
| 200   | and the French bottom-up emission inventory. For all compounds, except PPM, emissions                                                                    |
| 201   | are redistributed according to the population distribution. For $\ensuremath{\text{PPM}_{2.5}}$ the method                                               |
| 202   | proposed by Terrenoire et al. (2015) using a logarithmic regression as a function of                                                                     |
| 203   | population density was improved by fitting several parameters to the new proxy and the                                                                   |
| 204   | landuse to treat differently urban areas from non-urban areas.                                                                                           |
| 205   | For all sectors and for all compounds, the French and British bottom-up emission                                                                         |
| 206   | inventory at 1km resolution is used to redistribute emissions of France and United                                                                       |
| 207   | Kingdom.                                                                                                                                                 |
| 208   | The inventory covers NOx, SO <sub>2</sub> , NMVOC, NH <sub>3</sub> , CO, PPM <sub>10</sub> and PPM <sub>2.5</sub> as reported by                         |
| 209   | each country in EMEP. It is distributed at a $1/8^{\circ}$ longitude x $1/16^{\circ}$ latitude resolution and                                            |
| 210   | it covers the year 2010.                                                                                                                                 |
| 211   |                                                                                                                                                          |

212 EMEP: The EMEP emission inventory is based on emission data reported by the 51 213 Parties belonging to the LRTAP convention, complemented by expert estimates (www.emep.int). The EMEP emission product is normally distributed at  $50 \times 50 \text{ km}^2$ 214 resolution and calculated by using sectoral emissions as reported by countries and gap 215 216 filled with data from different models where no or incomplete data are reported by 217 countries (EMEP, 2015). At the 36th session of the EMEP Steering Body, the EMEP Centers suggested to increase spatial resolution of reported emissions from 50x 50 km<sup>2</sup> to 218 219  $0.1^{\circ} \times 0.1^{\circ}$  (http://www.ceip.at). Nevertheless, the official reporting of gridded emissions 220 in this new resolution is requested from 2017 onwards and currently about half of the 221 EU28 countries have submitted their own gridded data. The higher resolution version used here has instead been rescaled at  $1/8^{\circ}$  longitude x  $1/16^{\circ}$  latitude resolution based on 222 223 the TNO-MACCIII emission data and it covers year 2013.

224

JRC07 (Trombetti et al., 2017). The JRC07 is an inventory recently developed for use in 225 226 Integrated Assessment Modelling strategies (IAM) in the fields of regional air-quality 227 (Clappier et al., 2015; Carnevale et al., 2012) and land use and territorial modelling 228 (https://ec.europa.eu/jrc/en/luisa; Lavalle et al., 2011; Lavalle et al., 2013). The inventory 229 is based on country total emission data from the Greenhouse Gas and Air Pollution 230 Interactions and Synergies Model (GAINS, Amann et al., 2011), as used for the implementation of the EU20-20-20 targets under the assumptions of the 2013 Air Quality 231 232 package review. It models emissions from 2010 up to 2030 and it currently covers  $NO_x$ , SO<sub>2</sub>, VOC, PPM<sub>10</sub>, PPM<sub>2.5</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub>. It is distributed with different spatial resolutions and 233 here it is being used in its version at  $1/8^{\circ} \times 1/8^{\circ}$  degrees. 234

An overview of the spatial proxies and ancillary data used for the spatial distribution of emissions from the considered sectors is shown in Table 1.

|                                    | TNO-MACCII                                                                                                                                    | TNO-MACCIII                                                                                                                                               | INERISinv                                                                                                                                                                         | JRC07                                                                                                                                                                                          | EDGAR                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | EMEP                                                                                                          |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Reference                          | Kuenen et al., 2014                                                                                                                           | Kuenen et al. 2015, Kuenen et al.<br>2016, pers. Comm.                                                                                                    | Mailler et al., 2017                                                                                                                                                              | Trombetti et al., 2017                                                                                                                                                                         | Crippa et al., 2016                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Mareckova et al., 2016                                                                                        |
| Temporal<br>Coverage               | 2003 - 2009                                                                                                                                   | 2000 - 2011                                                                                                                                               | 2010                                                                                                                                                                              | 2010-2030                                                                                                                                                                                      | 1970-2010                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | 2013                                                                                                          |
| Input Emission<br>Data             | Official reported emissions to<br>CLRTAP, gapfilled with other data<br>(e.g. GAINS, EDGAR, TNO estimates)                                     | Official reported emissions to<br>CLRTAP, gapfilled with other data<br>(e.g. GAINS, EDGAR, TNO<br>estimates)                                              | EMEP                                                                                                                                                                              | GAINS                                                                                                                                                                                          | EDGAR                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Official reported emissions to<br>CLRTAP, gap-filled by CEIP                                                  |
| SNAP02<br>(Residential)            | Total population<br>(CIESIN and GRUMP<br>http://sedac.ciesin.columbia.edu)<br>and wood use map (based on<br>population and wood availability) | Total population<br>(CIESIN and GRUMP<br>http://sedac.ciesin.columbia.edu)<br>and improved wood use map<br>(based on population and wood<br>availability) | Population<br>(GRUMP<br>http://sedac.ciesin.columbia.e<br>du/gpw)<br>Land Use (USGS)                                                                                              | Population, Industrial and Agriculture<br>Land Use (LUISA, Lavalle et al., 2013)<br>Degree of Urbanization (EUROSTAT,<br>http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/degr<br>ee-of-urbanisation/overview) | In-house proxy based on rural and urban<br>population<br>(http://sedac.ciesin.columbia.edu/)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 50x50 km₂: CEIP country<br>reported grids<br>0.0625x0.125 degrees: TNO-<br>MACCIII emission data              |
| SNAPO3 and<br>SNAPO4<br>(Industry) | LPS: E-PRTR, TNO point source<br>database<br>Diffuse: Population                                                                              | LPS: E-PRTR, TNO point source<br>database<br>Diffuse: Industrial land cover<br>(CORINE (EEA, 2017))                                                       | LPS: E-PRTR<br>Diffuse: No Diffuse                                                                                                                                                | LPS: E-PRTR v8<br>Diffuse: Manufacturing sector<br>employment data (EUROSTAT, 2008),<br>Industrial Land Use (LUISA, Lavalle et al.,<br>2013)                                                   | LPS: In-house proxy based on USGS<br>(http://mrdata.usgs.gov/mineral-<br>operations/),<br>E-PRTR v4.2, v6.1, v7<br>CEC (http://takingstock.cec.org/),<br>CIESIN (http://sedac.ciesin.columbia.edu),<br>Global Energy Observatory<br>(http://globalenergyobservatory.org/),<br>NGDC<br>(https://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/eog/viirs.ht<br>ml;<br>https://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/eog/viirs.ht<br>ml),<br>World Port Index (PUB 150)<br>(http://msi.nga.mil/MSISiteContent/Static<br>Files/NAV_PUBS/WPI/Pub150bk.pdf)<br>Diffuse: No Diffuse<br>Population when no LPS is available | 50x50 km₂: CEIP country<br>reported grids<br>0.0625x0.125 degrees: TNO-<br>MACCIII emission data              |
| SNAP07 (Road<br>Transport)         | TRANSTOOLS network<br>(European Commission, 2005) and<br>Total population                                                                     | TRANSTOOLS network<br>(European Commission, 2005) and<br>Total population                                                                                 | Proxy based on the<br>correlation between the<br>French bottom-up emission<br>inventory and different spatial<br>databases (CORINE, EEA;<br>ETISplus,<br>http://www.etisplus.eu ) | Open Street Map Network<br>(OSM contributors, 2015)<br>Population (LUISA, Lavalle et al., 2013)<br>TREMOVE shares of traffic<br>(De Ceuster et al., 2006)<br>AADT UNECE (UNECE, 2005)          | In-house EDGAR proxy based on<br>OpenStreetMap (OSM contributors, 2015)<br>and weighted on road type and vehicle<br>category                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 50x50 km <sub>2</sub> : CEIP country<br>reported grids<br>0.0625x0.125 degrees: TNO-<br>MACCIII emission data |

237 Table 1: Description of the features of the inventories (literature reference and temporal coverage), spatial proxies and ancillary data used for the downscaling of

238 emissions from the considered sectors

## 239 **3. Analysis**

### 240 **3.1.Comparison at country scale**

The selected inventories are first analysed and compared in terms of input data, looking at their macro-sectorial shares of emissions aggregated at the EU28 level (PPM<sub>2.5</sub> is represented in Figure 1; see figure 2 in the Supplementary Information for the other pollutants). It is important to underline that TNO-MACCII, TNO-MACCIII, INERIS and EMEP are based on the officially reported emissions by the countries to the CLRTAP, while JRC07 is based on GAINS. Although the reporting year to CLRTAP might not be the same for all inventories, this may explain the observed similitudes among some emission inventories.



Figure 1 Comparison of the selected inventories for PPM<sub>2.5</sub> in terms of macro-sectors shares at the country scale. The numbers from 1 to 10 refer to the SNAP sectors, where SNAP34 is the result of merging SNAP03 and SNAP04

252

248

As expected, there is a good agreement among the inventories based on official reporting to CLRTAP on the shares of emissions for the targeted macro sectors. The EDGAR emission

255 inventory shows the largest differences for all pollutants with the exception of SO<sub>2</sub>.

For NO<sub>x</sub>, the share for road transport varies from 37% (EDGAR) to 43% (INERIS), while differences are between 1% and 2% for the residential combustion and the industrial sectors. The most noticeable difference for NO<sub>x</sub> is in the EDGAR emission inventory, as it assigns more emissions (~ 7%) to SNAP01 (Combustion in energy and transformation industries), which is compensated by a lower share of emissions in SNAP08 (Non-Road transport).

For PPM<sub>2.5</sub>, the share of emissions from SNAP02 (Residential) ranges from 38% (EDGAR) to 48% (GAINS, on which JRC07 is based) with the exception of EMEP, which assigns much more importance to this sector (54%). This difference between EMEP and the other CLRTAP-based inventories for PPM<sub>2.5</sub> can be explained by different emission reporting in different years. The EMEP inventory is based on reporting in 2016 while e.g. TNO-MACCIII is based on reporting in 2013. Overall EU28 reported primary PPM<sub>2.5</sub> emissions from SNAP02 in 2016 are more than 20% higher than in 2013.

For SNAP07 (Road Transport) and SNAP34 (Industry), we find the same pattern reported for NO<sub>x</sub>, with EDGAR assigning to industry 5% higher emissions than MACC2 and ~10% higher than the other inventories, while reporting a ~6% lower share of the road transport sector. Similar variations are also seen for the agricultural sector (SNAP10).

272 In the case of SO<sub>2</sub>, no major difference is observed between the inventories, although it has to 273 be noted that the road transport sector is of negligible importance. Emissions of SO<sub>2</sub> have decreased by 88% between 1990 and 2014 in EU28 mainly as a result of fuel-switching from 274 275 high-sulphur solid and liquid fuels to low-sulphur fuels (EEA, 2016) but also as a result of 276 the increase in abatement on large plants. Currently, emissions from this pollutant mainly 277 come from point sources linked to the public energy production sector (i.e. coal-fired power 278 plants) that are usually continuously monitored and hence well characterised by all emission 279 inventories. This might not apply though for some other countries where, with the above 280 mentioned increased abatement and fuel switch, the share of emissions of the national total 281 from Large Point Sources has significantly decreased and a higher share of emissions come 282 from Medium Combustion Plants (MCPs) and possibly even from small-scale combustion.

Looking at the target sectors for VOC, while there is a good agreement for SNAP02 and SNAP07, EDGAR has higher emissions for the industrial sector for EDGAR. This is most likely an allocation issue, since this difference is partially compensated by an underestimation in SNAP06 (Solvents and other Products use). This compensation between sectors may indicate a potential inconsistency in the mapping of industrial activities related to the use of solvents (e.g. pharmaceutical products, paint manufacturing). This inconsistency highlights that differences in the original mapping and linking tables used in each inventory to match specific pollutant activities to an official reporting format (e.g. NFR to SNAP) may have a large impact when re-mapping activities from one reporting nomenclature to another.

292

### **3.2.Comparison at regional/urban scale**

#### 294

### **3.2.1. Emission totals**

We focus here on the regional allocation of emissions, i.e. on the fraction of the sum of 295 national emissions from SNAP02, SNAP34 and SNAP07 which is assigned to a particular 296 297 city (Figure 2; as in the following figures, the cities are ordered on the x axis by degree of 298 longitude, West to East). All inventories perform similarly for NO<sub>x</sub> with an exception in 299 Budapest to which EDGAR assigns almost 30% of the national totals, almost twice the 300 percentage assigned by the other inventories. Budapest consistently shows the largest 301 differences between the inventories for all compounds. Large differences are also observed 302 for Paris, for all pollutants and especially for EDGAR and MACCII, and in Bucharest and 303 Sofia, for SO<sub>2</sub> and PPM<sub>2.5</sub>. The higher emission share in Paris according to MACCII could be 304 explained by an over-allocation of industrial emissions (SNAP34) to urban areas. Emissions 305 from the industrial sectors that cannot be linked to a specific point source are merged in 306 MACCII and gridded based on total population (Table 1). This approach resulted in an over-307 allocation of industrial emissions in urban areas (Guevara et al., 2014) and has been corrected 308 in MACCIII where diffuse industrial emissions are allocated to industrial areas according to 309 the CORINE land cover classification 2016 (EEA, 2017b). A good agreement between the 310 inventories is observed in Barcelona, Milano, Warsaw and Utrecht. From an inventory point 311 of view, EDGAR tends to allocate a larger fraction of the national totals to urban areas than 312 the other inventories, in particular for PPM<sub>2.5</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub>. The higher estimation ranges between 313 factors 1.5 and 2. The behaviour of INERIS in Bucharest NO<sub>x</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> follows the average 314 trend while, for VOC and PPM<sub>2.5</sub>, it is outlying. As it appears from the analysis at sectorial 315 level in the next chapters, these higher values are likely due to higher emissions from the

- 316 industrial sector which represents the  $\sim$ 70% of the total emissions, a share much higher than
- 317 the ones reported for the other inventories ( $\sim 5\% \sim 40\%$ ).
- 318 In general, it is clear that the spatial disaggregation methods applied in each inventory work
- 319 differently in terms of urban areas and pollutants.



320

Figure 2: Regional allocation of emissions: fraction of the country emissions from the total of sectors
 SNAP02 (Residential Combustion), SNAP34 (Industry) and SNAP07 (Road Transport) which is assigned
 to each city

**324 3.2.2. Sector share** 

In order to better understand why the spatial allocation differs between inventories, we compare the way each inventory spatially allocates the regional emission in terms of macrosectors, more specifically, transport (SNAP07), industry (SNAP34) and residential combustion (SNAP02). Some uncertainties could be present due to the way different countries might convert sectors between the different nomenclatures (NFR, SNAP, IPCC).

For each urban area, the contribution of each macro-sector, which will partly depend of the characteristics of the selected study site (Table 2, SI), it is assessed in terms of percentage of the total city emission. The regional/city macro-sector percentages (C) are computed as 333

$$C_m^p = \frac{E_m^p}{\sum_{i=1,M} E_i^p}$$

334

- where  $E_m^p$  represents the total city emission for a pollutant "p" and macro-sector "m" and M is the total number of sectors (3 in our case).
- 337 In general,  $NO_x$  and  $SO_2$  show the most robust trend among the four pollutants, while it is not
- 338 possible to identify a consistent pattern for VOC in terms of cities or in terms of sectors.



339

Figure 3: Sectorial allocation. Share of the total emissions for each city coming from the Industry sector.

Among the three macro-sectors, the industrial one is by far the least consistent with large differences in many cities (values up to 5 times bigger, Figure 3). Similar inconsistency was highlighted when comparing regional downscaled inventories with bottom-up emission inventories for the same urban areas (López-Aparicio et al., 2017). While the INERIS inventory has systematically lower values for SO<sub>2</sub>, EDGAR tends to allocate higher industrial emissions to most cities for most of the emission pollutants. It is also noticeable that, as noted in the previous paragraph, TNO-MACCIII has reduced the amount of industrial emissions located in urban areas with respect to TNO-MACCII. This also results into a larger relativecontribution from SNAP07 in TNO-MACCIII when compared to the previous version.

The transport sector shows the most similar shares across inventories, with the exception of VOC (Figure 4; SO<sub>2</sub> not shown due to its low importance for this sector). With the exception of two cities (i.e. Sofia for NO<sub>x</sub> and VOC and Utrecht for PPM<sub>2.5</sub>), EDGAR systematically allocates a much lower fraction of transport emissions to urban areas. This is probably due to the fact that emissions from on-road transport sector are distributed in EDGAR based on road types and vehicle categories and not considering the population density which is in some way

357 taken into account in the other inventories.

358



Figure 4: Sectorial allocation. Share of the total emissions for each city coming from the SNAP07, road
 transport sector.

The residential sector (SNAP02) shows good agreement among the inventories for  $NO_x$  and, in particular, there is no difference between TNO-MACCII and TNO-MACCIII (Figure 5). In the case of PPM<sub>2.5</sub>, although the trends are quite consistent, there are differences in terms of percentages, indicating greater variability in emitting sources (Fuelwood, Coal), which are distributed differently by each inventory (Table 1). This is especially true for Eastern European cities, such as Bucharest, Katowice and Warsaw. The EDGAR emission inventory do different patterns from all other inventories; in particular, there are larger emission estimates from the residential sector for  $NO_x$  and, to a less degree,

- 369 for some cities for  $PPM_{2.5}$  and VOC, which are partially compensated by lower contributions
- 370 from the road transport emissions.



371

Figure 5: Sectorial allocation. Share of the total emissions for each city coming from the SNAP02,
residential combustion sector.

## 374 **3.3.Activity / share analysis: the diamond approach**

**375 3.3.1. Methodology** 

Thunis et al. (2016b) proposed a methodology to compare emission inventories for different pollutants (i.e. PPM, NO<sub>x</sub>, VOC, SO<sub>2</sub>, etc...) and activity macro-sectors (i.e. transport, industry, residential, etc...), on the basis of emission ratios between two inventories. In a first step, the emission for a pollutant *p* and a macro-sector *s* ( $E_{s,p}$ ) is expressed as the product of an emission factor (e) and an activity (A). The emission ratio between two inventories then equals to the product of an emission factor ratio and an activity ratio:

$$\hat{E}_{s,p} = \hat{e}_{s,p}\hat{A}_s$$

384

# 385 with $\hat{E}_{s,p} = \hat{E}_{s,p}^{(1)} / \hat{E}_{s,p}^{(2)}$ ; $\hat{e}_{s,p} = \hat{e}_{s,p}^{(1)} / \hat{e}_{s,p}^{(2)}$ and $\hat{A}_s = \hat{A}_s^{(1)} / \hat{A}_s^{(2)}$

386

in which superscripts 1 and 2 identify the two inventories for a pollutant *p* and a macro-sector*s*.

The methodology detailed in Thunis et al. (2016b) aims to quantify inconsistencies in terms of emission factors and activity ratios ( $\hat{e}_{s,p}$  and  $\hat{A}_s$ ) from the limited knowledge we have of the total emission ratio ( $\hat{E}_{s,p}$ ). It assumes that one pollutant species (denoted as  $p^*$ ) can be identified as reference for which the emission factors are equal in the two inventories (i.e.  $\hat{e}_{s,p^*} \approx 1$ ). With this condition, it is then possible to deduce the emission factors and activity

ratios from the total emission ratios: 
$$\hat{A}_s \approx \hat{E}_{s,p^*}$$
 and  $\hat{e}_{s,p} \approx \hat{E}_{s,p^*} / \hat{E}_{s,p^*}$ 

The need to select a reference pollutant is a disadvantage of this methodology as discussed in 395 396 Thunis et al. (2016b). However, in this work we follow an alternative approach that does not 397 require a reference pollutant. We assume that the activity and emission factor ratios behave as 398 random variables with probability distributions following a Gaussian law centered around 1. 399 These distributions are then used to estimate the probability that the activity and emission 400 factors ratios take specific values within given intervals, while satisfying the known 401 constraint on total emission ratios. The activity and emission factor ratio are then those 402 characterised by the highest probability. The activity and emission factor ratio are used as X 403 and Y coordinates in the "diamond" diagram, where each sector-pollutant couple is 404 represented by a specific point (Figure 6). As a result of the construction, the diagonals (slope 405 = -1) provide information on the overall under-/over-prediction in terms of total emissions. 406 We can define a diamond shaped area where activity, activity shares and total emissions all 407 remain within given degrees of variation. For example, the red diamond indicates ratios of 408 activity, emission factor and total emissions all within 100% (or a factor 2) differences, while 409 the green diamond indicates ratios within 50% (or a factor 1.5). Colors and symbols are used 410 to identify pollutants and sectors, respectively. These choices are made to facilitate the

411 identification of the different ratios. The size of the symbol is then made proportional to the 412 emission magnitude (i.e. the emission for one sector is compared to the total emitted for one 413 given pollutant). This feature helps identify the biggest contributors and potential sectors to 414 mitigate. It has to be in fact remarked that this kind of analysis does not aim to draw final 415 conclusions but is instead a screening tool to highlight possible sources of inconsistencies 416 between inventories. The reader is referred to Thunis et al. (2016b) for more details.

417 This approach allows us to compare the 6 inventories for 4 pollutants and for 11 cities. 418 However, the "diamond" approach only allows relative comparisons because no emission 419 inventory can be considered as the reference inventory. A synthetic inventory was therefore 420 created for the relative comparison and to be used as a reference dataset. The synthetic 421 emission values are computed as the median values of the 6 existing inventories. The results 422 discussed in the next sections are based on the differences and similarities between the six 423 top-down inventories when compared to the synthetic dataset in terms of emission sector 424 share and activity data (i.e. the "data on the magnitude of human activity resulting in 425 emissions or removals taking place during a given period of time", IPCC, 2006). Even if the 426 median values could be affected by outlying values, the general trends describing the nature 427 of discrepancy between inventories is expected to be anyway meaningful.

It is noteworthy to remark that, in this work, urban emission totals are further scaled by their country totals as explained in the methodology. This step is made to ensure that all urban inventories originate from similar country totals and that the observed differences in the diamond approach focus on the differences in terms of spatial allocation of the emissions rather than on country scale biases. In this particular case, the value on the X axis is now an indication of the differences in terms of activity shares rather than in terms of emission factors.

435

### **3.3.2.** Analysis in terms of sector

436 Transport Sector – There is an overall agreement between the inventories both in 437 terms of activity intensity and sectorial share as indicated by the fact that most points are 438 concentrated within the diamond shape (Figure 6). This is probably explained by the fact that 439 similar proxies are used for the spatial and sectorial disaggregation from the country totals, 440 allowing to allocate similar amounts of emissions to the considered study areas. Indeed, the 441 spatial information related to the road network (e.g. Open Street Map) is one of the most precise and shared pieces of information (especially at the spatial resolution considered in 442 443 this work). The proxies used to allocate traffic intensity in each inventory are also quite 444 similar and do not impact the emission distribution significantly. Activity is however lower 445 according to EDGAR (especially Paris, Barcelona) and INERIS (for the Eastern European 446 cities). This pattern is especially visible for VOC, probably related to the way the inventories 447 deal with the evaporative emissions. It is also interesting to note that the diagram does not 448 show the same consistency if city totals are not scaled to the inventories (not shown), indicating that most of the differences between inventories tend to originate from differences 449 450 in country total estimates rather than from the spatial disaggregation proxies.



Figure 6: Comparison between inventories using the diamond approach for the Road Transport sector

467 *Residential Sector* – As noted above, the most consistent trends in this sector across 468 cities appear for  $NO_x$ , with the exception of EDGAR (Figure 7) and, for a few cities, of 469 EMEP and MACCIII. The larger differences observed for PPM2.5 and VOC are mostly due 470 to a problem in terms of activity share (points spread along the horizontal axis) rather than in 471 terms of activity intensity. Given the fact that NO<sub>x</sub> emissions are more consistent than the 472 other pollutants, the difference must be due to activities which are not a significant source of 473 NO<sub>x</sub> emissions, such as wood burning. It is interesting also to note the INERIS behaviour; for 474 most cities in this inventory, the points representative of PPM<sub>2.5</sub> and VOC are aligned on the 475 same horizontal line, indicating a similar proportional overestimation of the activity (wood 476 and coal burning) in all cities. This similar overestimation probably results from using a 477 parameter proportional to population to scale up wood-burning emissions. In INERIS, 478 emissions from SNAP02 are in fact distributed according to a proxy based on population, 479 land-use and the French bottom-up emission inventory, fitting other parameters in order to 480 differentiate urban and non-urban areas. Differences across the cities are hence mainly due to 481 inconsistencies in terms of shares of activities within the same sector, with a proportion that 482 depends on the importance of wood and coal burning in each city: the higher the importance 483 of wood and coal burning, the higher the uncertainty of emission distribution in this sector. 484 For instance, in countries such as Germany and Spain, emissions from residential heating are 485 lowest, whereas Romania, Poland and France have the highest levels (Terrenoire et al., 2015). 486 This confirms the importance of updating the emission estimates from the residential 487 combustion sector, as stated by Denier van der Gon et al. (2015) and developing a proxy 488 which would allow for a better and common representation of the spatial distribution of wood 489 and coal usage, also taking into account site-specific features such as the proliferation of 490 district heating in many cities which results in a smaller and secondary usage of conventional 491 wood-fired stoves.



493 Figure 7: Comparison between inventories using the diamond approach for the Residential Combustion494 sector

495 Residential Sector: INERIS as a reference inventory - INERIS can be considered as a 496 reference inventory for France and UK since the national emission inventories are directly introduced as spatial proxies (1km<sup>2</sup> resolution) in the European emission dataset. In this 497 section, assuming that the national bottom-up inventories are supposed to be the most 498 499 accurate, the terms "over"- or "under-estimation" compared to this new reference can then be used for London and Paris. Figure 8 provides a rather different picture of the spread of 500 501 emissions from the residential sectors for both  $NO_x$  and  $PPM_{2.5}$  compared to Figure 7. For 502 NO<sub>x</sub>, the differences in terms of activities and share for JRC07 and EDGAR in London are 503 emphasised and become much bigger, while the MACCIII ones are now more similar to the 504 reference inventory. For PPM<sub>2.5</sub>, in Paris the patterns are similar to those shown before, while 505 the results are more diverse for London. Here, MACCII and JRC show larger differences 506 from the reference inventory than before with problems of both activity levels and activity 507 shares; while EDGAR, MACCIII and EMEP are closer to the activity levels of the reference 508 inventory.



510 Figure 8: Comparison between  $NO_x$  and  $PPM_{2.5}$  inventories using the diamond approach for the 511 residential sector with INERIS as a reference

512 *Industrial Sector* – This sector is the one that needs the biggest efforts and

513 improvements. In particular, EDGAR consistently has higher emissions from this sector,

514 while INERIS and EMEP assign lower values (Figure 9). There are in general differences

- 515 between all the inventories and for all the pollutants that appear to be due to discrepancies
- 516 both in terms of activity levels and shares, as indicated by the wide horizontal and vertical







520 Being largely based on Large Point Source (LPS) information, the differences seen in this 521 industrial sector probably result mainly from differences in the choice of the relevant 522 databases, reporting location and 'weight' of the facilities: as reported by Wang et al., 2012, 523 the spatial accuracy of the LPS information can significantly affect the accuracy of the 524 associated chemical transport models. The LPS databases differ in terms of spatial accuracy 525 and thematic details (capacity or size of the single emitting facility) which strongly affect the 526 resulting spatial variability, often combined with other inconsistencies with larger 527 consequences (opening and closure of facilities and regular updates of the underlying 528 databases) (Janssen-Maenhout et al., 2015; Ferreira et al., 2013). All the inventories 529 considered rely on different versions of the E-PRTR database. The industrial emissions that 530 cannot be linked to a specific LPS facility (i.e. diffuse fraction) since they are often below the 531 threshold of individual facility reporting (e.g. to E-PRTR, http://prtr.ec.europa.eu) are 532 included in this sector. Especially for small countries, the existence of threshold makes the 533 PRTR dataset less valuable and it requires additional data for point sources falling below the 534 threshold. Hence, the diffuse fraction has to be spatially allocated according to different 535 proxies that may greatly contribute to the inconsistencies among inventories (Table 1). As 536 already pointed out in section 3.2.1, in TNO-MACCII for example, emissions from SNAP34 537 are distributed based on the E-PRTR database, their TNO internal LPS database and on 538 population distribution in the case of the diffuse fraction. The TNO-MACCIII introduces an 539 improvement in the distribution of this part of the industrial sector emissions that cannot be 540 represented by point sources (LPS). This improvement can be observed in Figure 9 when 541 comparing MACCII and MACCIII and will avoid a likely over-allocation of industrial 542 emissions in urban areas. An alternative choice is the one of the EDGAR inventory which 543 doesn't define any share of diffuse industrial emission but the whole national total is assigned 544 to point sources.

To summarise, of the three sectors considered, road transport is the most robust, with inconsistencies mostly on activities for EDGAR and, to a minor extent, INERIS. This sector has also been reported by Lopez-Aparicio et al. (2017) as the most consistent although, when comparing it with bottom-up approaches, all considered inventories showed underestimation of NO<sub>x</sub> and PPM<sub>10</sub> emissions. As stated in this same paper, non-exhaust emissions due to resuspension are the main reason of discrepancies for PPM10, whereas the disaggregation of traffic emissions in urban areas based on population may entail lower activity and the subsequent underestimation of  $NO_x$  emissions.

The other two sectors, in particular the industrial sector, highlight problems with both activity levels and activity shares. It is also interesting to note that in general the problems are similar for all cities in each inventory. This might mean that specific parameters of each urban area, such as land use, population density and degree of urbanization, play an important role in emission distribution.

### **3.3.3. Analysis in terms of pollutants**

If we sum-up the emissions from the three sectors and use the diamond approach, we observe greater consistency between the inventories for all pollutants than for single macro sectors (Figure 10). This consistency results from the compensation effects of higher and lower estimations in the individual macro-sectors. This is particularly notable for the EDGAR inventory, where the estimates of traffic emissions, which are lower when compared to the other datasets, are compensated by higher ones from the industrial and residential sectors.

The largest consistencies are mostly observed for NO<sub>x</sub> and VOC and the lowest for PPM<sub>2.5</sub> 565 566 and  $SO_2$ . For  $SO_2$ , the discrepancy mostly lies in the sectorial share as indicated by the large 567 horizontal spread. It is interesting to note the differences for SO<sub>2</sub> between MACCII and 568 MACCIII which are important in cities like Budapest, but small in others like Paris. These 569 differences can be attributed to changes in the proxies used to distribute industrial emissions 570 resulting in differences in terms of share. The proxies for industrial activities were in fact a 571 specific target of the upgrade to MACCIII: as previously indicated, diffusive industrial 572 emissions are allocated based on population in MACCII whereas industrial land use is used in 573 MACCIII.



575 Figure 10: Comparison between inventories using the diamond approach for the total emissions from all576 the considered sectors

## 577 **3.3.4. Uncertainties of emissions at urban scale**

578 In this section we quantitatively summarise the results described previously. For this purpose, 579 an estimate of the standard uncertainty is calculated for each pollutant and each sector for the 580 6 emission inventories. The relative standard uncertainties (u) for each city are calculated for 581 each pollutant "p" and sector "s" according to the following formula:

582

574

$$u(p,s) = \frac{t^{(n-1)} * \sigma}{E_{p,s}^{mean} \sqrt{n}}$$

where  $E_{p,s}^{mean}$  is the mean of the 6 emission values for a given sector and pollutant, "t<sup>(n-1)</sup>" represents the Student's t-test probability value corresponding to a 95% confidence level and n is the number of available inventories (n=6). The uncertainties apply to the emissions at urban scale since the starting point of all emission inventories is the national emissions total, which is identical for all of them. The uncertainties therefore reflect the expected variations



- resulting from the application of different spatial proxies to allocate the emissions in the
- 589 urban environment.

590

591 Figure 11: Percentage standard uncertainties for the transport, residential and industry sectors for the 11 592 selected cities. VOC for SNAP07 and NO<sub>x</sub> for SNAP02 are not shown here, since, for these pollutants, the 593 contribution from these sectors to the total emission is less than 10% (average value of all considered 594 inventories)

**Figure 11** shows uncertainties up to 100% (and over this threshold for VOC) for the residential and industrial sectors whereas uncertainties of ~25% are found in the transport sector. These remarkably small uncertainties for the road transport are due to the consistency among the inventories observed in the previous paragraphs and explained by the usage of very similar spatial proxies.

600 It has also to be noticed that the uncertainty is very low and similar for all pollutants, 601 including  $PPM_{2.5}$  and VOC which, differently from NOx and SOx, have a significant 602 contribution from non-exhaust emissions. This entails that even if non-exhaust emissions from resuspension is a major source of uncertainty in national inventories, they are spatiallydistributed in the same way by the different dataset we analysed.

Furthermore, it is also interesting to note the overall good agreement for Utrecht and Barcelona. When looking at the combination of all the considered sectors, uncertainties are generally reduced for all cities and pollutants, due to a compensation effect, although they are still very high for  $SO_2$  and some cities such as Paris, Bucharest, Budapest and Sofia.

### 609 **4. Conclusions**

With the analysis presented in this paper we introduce an innovative approach for the spatial
analysis of proxy-based emission inventories gridded at the European scale (i.e. ~7 to ~10 km
resolution).

613 Several emission inventories are available for Europe, differing substantially in terms of total 614 emissions, sectorial emission shares and spatial distribution. It should be noted that total 615 emissions per major sector and country can be different in the existing inventories even when 616 based on the country reported data to CLRTAP. Since reporting takes place on an annual 617 basis, emissions are reported annually for every historical year back to 1990. When 618 methodological changes are made in the countries' inventory, these changes are implemented 619 for all historical years and this may lead to significant changes in historical emission 620 estimates. A key example is residential combustion (SNAP02) where country reported 621 PPM<sub>2.5</sub> emissions for the EU28 have increased by more than 20% between 2013 and 2016 622 reporting. The changes are due to the differences in measurement techniques to quantify PPM 623 emissions from small combustion installations and the lack of a clear definition for the basis 624 on which PPM emissions should be reported.

Hence, while for the most important cities, bottom-up inventories often do exist providing more accurate information at a higher spatial resolution, for extensive air quality modelling it is still of utmost importance to be able to rely on consistent and harmonised European-wide inventories. In order to assess the potential impact of the choice of a specific inventory for air quality modelling, we analysed their spatial patterns of behaviour looking at representative urban areas over Europe.

A distinctive outcome of the work presented in this paper is the significant differencebetween regional emission inventories due to the choices made in terms of disaggregation

approach and selection of spatial proxies. Moreover, our study underlines those sectors whereadditional efforts are needed in the framework of regional air quality assessments.

635 For all inventories, it appears necessary to review, compare and develop new methodologies 636 and proxies for the spatial disaggregation of emissions from the industrial sector. The large 637 inconsistencies observed may be in part due to the different methodologies and assumptions used to allocate the diffuse industrial emissions. Emissions from Medium Combustion Plants 638 639 (MCP, >1 MWth and <50 MWth) are just now starting to be regulated by the EC (Directive 640 2015/2193) and consequently no information on these facilities (e.g. geographic location, 641 emissions) is available. Considering that the number of MCP in the EU is estimated to be 642 around 143,000 (European Union, 2016b) and that large part of them are used for providing 643 electricity and energy for processes, having detailed information of these facilities would 644 improve the allocation of industrial emissions and reduce the observed discrepancies.

645 As it was previously highlighted (e.g. Guevara et al., 2014; López-Aparicio et al., 2017), the 646 use of the population density as proxy to allocate the diffuse fraction of industrial emissions 647 results in an over-allocation of emissions in urban areas (e.g. TNO-MACCII). Even though 648 the distribution of diffuse emission based on land use cover data is an improvement (e.g. 649 TNO-MACCIII), this approach still needs further development. The main reason is that the 650 land use classification includes in the 'industry' class areas that are commercial rather than 651 industrial. It is also important that inventories base the distribution of emissions from Large 652 Point Sources emissions on the latest available LPS dataset and that, for the sources below 653 the emission thresholds which can be very important especially in small countries, 654 appropriate complementary dataset are adopted.

Particular attention should also be given to the residential sector, if possible comparing bottom-up estimates to better calibrate the spatial patterns of emissions from wood and coal burning, in order to reflect the significant variations between countries. Furthermore, cityspecific features such as district heating should be taken into account; in these cases, a much lower share of residential emissions would be expected over the city compared to individual heating sites. At the same time, the traditional proxies used for gridding residential emissions (e.g. population density) would not be any more relevant.

662 Based on the differences highlighted in this analysis, we list the main aspects for each 663 inventory that could be important to review. It has to be noted that these issues have been

identified by a comparison between gridded Top-down inventories and, since there is no way 664 665 to directly verify the results of the disaggregation, they have to be considered as hints for a 666 critical revision of the chosen downscaling methodologies. Considering that none of the 667 analysed inventories can be considered as a true reference, it is also important to emphasise 668 that the consensus found for certain sectors/pollutants (e.g. NO<sub>x</sub> traffic emissions) does not necessarily indicate that the uncertainty in the emission inventories is low. A high level of 669 670 consensus may be due to similar assumptions used in all the inventories or similar sources of 671 uncertainties (e.g. laboratory versus on-road traffic emission factors, Degraeuwe and Weiss, 672 2017):

673

674 *EDGAR:* The importance of residential and road traffic emissions appears to be 675 systematically estimated as lower (SNAP02) and higher (SNAP07) over urban areas (the split 676 of national totals in terms of macro-sectors seem to be in line with the other inventories).

This emission inventory is generally the one that presents the largest inconsistencies when compared to the other analysed emission inventories. At the same time, it is the only one that offers a global spatial coverage, hence dealing with a wider range of data sources which need to ensure consistency and representativeness for the different parts of the globe. This fact indicates that when working at different scales, the availability and detail of spatial proxies may change.

*INERIS:* The spatial disaggregation of emissions from on-road traffic should be checked forsome eastern cities (Bucharest, Sofia) for which much lower values are reported.

685

686 *MACCII-MACCIII*: As expected, a general improvement from MACCII to MACCIII is 687 observed with very large changes for some of the cities. In general, in MACCIII, industrial 688 and residential emissions are now distributed more outside of the city domains and less 689 within the urban areas (Kuenen et al., 2014). For the industrial sector, the area sources which 690 were distributed using population density in MACCII are gridded over the industrial land use 691 area in MACCIII.

692 For the residential sector, MACCIII assigns lower amounts of wood or coal burning to the 693 city centres. The estimates for wood combustion and the spatial distribution have been revised; for Eastern European countries, the emissions from this source have beensignificantly increased.

696

697 *EMEP* Industrial emissions proxies and methodologies should be checked, since for all698 pollutants much lower values than the other inventories are reported.

699

*JRC* Particular attention should be given to residential emissions in Eastern Europe (in
 particular Poland); the country inter-variability of urban residential emissions (Wood and
 Coal burning) has not been properly addressed.

703

These first results provide an insight for the identification of the main issues and differences among the emission inventories commonly used at the European scale for air quality modelling and some recommendations are provided with the aim of working towards the harmonisation of spatial downscaling and proxy calibration, in particular for policy purposes.

Further work will be needed in order to provide a deeper insight into emission spatial patterns through a comparison at a finer scale with local bottom-up inventories, which rely on massive and detailed spatial information such as point sources, detailed censuses and traffic statistics or, as alternative, with the national grids at 0.1\*0.1 degrees resolution recently reported to EMEP by many European countries. Such a comparison would help calibrate proxies at a regional/local scale rather than using common ones for such diverse and extended areas.

Finally, and considering that one of the main aims of the analysed inventories is to provide emission inputs for air quality modelling, future work should also consider the influence of uncertainties in proxy-based emission inventories when they are used in atmospheric chemistry models.

718

### 719 Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Monica Crippa and Greet Janssens-Maenhout for their useful

comments and suggestions as well as for giving availability to the EDGAR inventory used in

this work and providing assistance in the re-mapping from IPCC to SNAP nomenclature.

They also thank EMEP for providing access to the inventory used in this work, Agnes Nyiri

for providing useful input and Emanuela Peduzzi for her critical review of the manuscript.

725 This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public,

726 commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

### 727 **5. References**

728

729 Amann M, Bertok I, Borken-Kleefeld J, Cofala J, Heyes C, Höglund-Isaksson L, Klimont Z, Nguyen B, Posch M, Rafaj P, Sandler R, Schöpp W, Wagner F, Winiwarter W, 2011. Cost-730 731 effective control of air quality and greenhouse gases in Europe: Modeling and policy 732 applications. Environmental Modelling & Software, 26(12), 1489-1501, doi: 733 10.1016/j.envsoft.2011.07.012

734

Bessagnet B, Pirovano G, Mircea M, Cuvelier C, Aulinger A, Galori G, Ciarelli G, Manders 735 A, Stern R, Tsyro S, Garcia Vivanco M, Thunis P, Pay MT, Colette A, Couvidat F, Meleux 736 737 F, Rouïl L, Ung A, Aksoyoglu S, Baldasano JM, Bieser J, Briganti G, Cappelletti A, 738 D'Isidoro M, Finardi S, Kranenbug R, Silibello C, Carnevale C, Aas W, Dupont JC, Fargeli, 739 Gonzalez L, Menut L, Prevõt ASH, Roberts P, White L, 2016. Presentation of the 740 EURODELTA III inter-comparison exercise – evaluation of the chemistry transport models 741 performance on criteria pollutants and joints analysis with meteorology. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 742 16 (2016), pp. 12667-12701, 10.5194/acp-2015-736

743

Carnevale C, Finzi G, Pisoni E, Volta M, Guariso G, Gianfreda R, Maffeis G, Thunis P,
White L, Triacchini G, 2012. An integrated assessment tool to define effective air quality
policies at regional scale. Environmental Modelling & Software, 38, 306-315, doi:
10.1016/j.envsoft.2012.07.004

748

Clappier A, Pisoni E, Thunis P, 2015. A new approach to design source–receptor
relationships for air quality modelling. Environmental Modelling & Software, Volume 74,
66-74, doi: 10.1016/j.envsoft.2015.09.007

| 753 | Crippa M, Janssens-Maenhout G, Dentener F, Guizzardi D, Sindelarova K, Muntean M, Van       |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 754 | Dingenen R, Granier C, 2016. Forty years of improvements in European air quality: regional  |
| 755 | policy-industry interactions with global impacts. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 16(6), |
| 756 | 3825-3841, doi: 10.5194/acp-16-3825-2016                                                    |
| 757 |                                                                                             |
| 758 | Davison S, van den Elshout S, Wester B, 2011. Integrated Urban Emission Inventories,        |
| 759 | CiteAIRII, Common Information to European Air, Interreg IVC Programme. Available from:      |
| 760 | http://www.citeair.eu/fileadmin/Deliverables_and_documents/Guidebook_Integrated_Emissi      |
| 761 | on_Inventoriesfinal.pdf                                                                     |
| 762 |                                                                                             |
| 763 | Degraeuwe B, Weiss M, 2017. Does the New European Driving Cycle (NEDC) really fail to       |
| 764 | capture the NOX emissions of diesel cars in Europe? Environmental Pollution, 222, 234-241,  |
| 765 | doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2016.12.050                                                           |
| 766 |                                                                                             |
| 767 | Denier van der Gon HAC, Visschedijk A, Van der Brugh H, Dröge R, 2010. A high               |
| 768 | resolution European emission data base for the year 2005, a contribution to UBA-Projekt     |
| 769 | PAREST: Particle Reduction Strategies. TNO Report TNO-034-UT-2010-01895_RPT-ML,             |
| 770 | TNO, Utrecht, Netherlands                                                                   |
| 771 |                                                                                             |

Denier Van Der Gon HAC, Bergström R, Fountoukis C, Johansson C, Pandis SN, Simpson
D, Visschedijk AJH, 2015. Particulate emissions from residential wood combustion in
Europe–revised estimates and an evaluation. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 15(11),
6503-6519, doi: 10.5194/acp-15-6503-2015

776

De Ceuster G, van Herbruggen B, Logghe S, Ivanova O, Carlier K, 2006. TREMOVE 2.
Service contract for the further development and application of the TREMOVE transport
model - Lot 3 (Final report part 4: Maritime model and policy runs). Brussels, Belgium:
European Commission, DG ENV.

- EEA, 2016. European Union emission inventory report 1990–2014 under the UNECE
  Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution (LRTAP). 118 pp., Luxembourg:
  Publications Office of the European Union, doi:10.2800/628267
- 785
- EEA, 2017. European Environment Agency, Corine Land Cover 2012 raster data,
  https://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/data/clc-2012-raster (Last checked in September,
  2017)
- 789

EMEP, 2015. EMEP Status Report 1/2015, Assessment of heavy metals transboundary
pollution, progress in model development and mercury research. Joint MSC-E & CCC
Report, Norwegian Meteorological Institute, August 24, 2015

- 793
- 794European Commission, Joint Research Centre (EC-JRC), 2005. TRANSTOOLS, Tools for795transportforecastingandscenariotesting,Version1,796<a href="http://energy.jrc.ec.europa.eu/transtools/index.html">http://energy.jrc.ec.europa.eu/transtools/index.html</a> (Last checked in April, 2017)
- 797

European Commission, Joint Research Centre (EC-JRC)/Netherlands Environmental
Assessment Agency (PBL), 2016a. Emission Database for Global Atmospheric Research
(EDGAR), release EDGAR v4.3.1\_v2 (1970 - 2010),
<u>http://edgar.jrc.ec.europa.eu/overview.php?v=431</u> (Last checked in April, 2017)

European Commission, 2016b. EU Environment, The Medium Combustion Plant (MCP)
Directive, <u>http://ec.europa.eu/environment/industry/stationary/mcp.htm</u> (Last checked in
April, 2017)

- Eurostat, 2008. NACE Rev. 2, Statistical Classification of Economic Activities in the
  European Community. Office for Official Publications of the European Communities,
  Luxembourg, 363 pp., Cat. No. KS-RA-07-015-EN-N
- 810

- Ferreira J, Guevara M, Baldasano JM, Tchepel O, Schaap M, Miranda AI, Borrego C, 2013.
  A comparative analysis of two highly spatially resolved European atmospheric emission
- 813 inventories. Atmospheric environment, 75, 43-57, doi: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.03.052
- 814
- 815 Geng G, Zhang Q, Martin RV, Lin J, Huo H, Zheng B, Wang S, He K, 2017. Impact of
- spatial proxies on the representation of bottom-up emission inventories: A satellite-based
- analysis. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 17(6), 4131-4145, doi: 10.5194/acp-2016-905
- 818
- 819 Granier C, Bessagnet B, Bond T, D'Angiola A, Denier van der Gon HAC, Frost GJ, Heil A,
- 820 Kaiser JW, Kinne S, Klimont Z, Kloster S, Lamarque JF, Liousse C, Masui T, Meleux F,
- 821 Mieville A, Ohara T, Raut JC, Riahi K, Schultz MG, Smith SJ, Thompson A, van Aardenne
- 822 J, van der Werf GR, van Vuuren DP, 2011. Evolution of anthropogenic and biomass burning
- 823 emissions of air pollutants at global and regional scales during the 1980-2010 period.
- 824 Climatic Change, 109(1-2), 163, doi: 10.1007/s10584-011-0154-1
- 825
- Guevara M., Martínez F, Arévalo G, Gassó S, Baldasano JM, 2013. An improved system for
  modelling Spanish emissions: HERMESv2. 0. Atmospheric environment 81, 209-221, doi:
  10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.08.053
- 829

Guevara M, Pay MT, Martínez F, Soret A, Denier van der Gon HAC, Baldasano JM, 2014.
Inter-comparison between HERMESv2.0 and TNO-MACC-II emission data using the
CALIOPE air quality system (Spain). Atmospheric Environment 98, 134-145, doi:
10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.08.067

834

Hiller RV, Bretscher D, DelSontro T, Diem T, Eugster W, Henneberger R, Hobi S, Hodson
E, Imer D, Kreuzer M, Kunzle T, Merbold L, Niklaus PA, Rihm B, Schellenberger A,
Schroth MGH, Schubert CJ, Siegrist H, Stieger J, Buchmann N, Brunner D, 2014.
Anthropogenic and natural methane fluxes in Switzerland synthesized within a spatially
explicit inventory. Biogeosciences, 11(7), 1941-1960, doi: 10.5194/bg-11-1941-2014

- IPCC, 2006. 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Prepared by
  the National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme, Eggleston HS, Buendia L, Miwa K,
  Ngara T and Tanabe K. (eds). Published: IGES, Japan.
- 844

Janssens-Maenhout G, Crippa M, Guizzardi D, Dentener F, Muntean M, Pouliot G, Keating
T, Zhang Q, Kurokawa J, Wankmüller R, Denier van der Gon H, Kuenen JJP, Klimont Z,
Frost G, Darras S, Koffi B, Li M, 2015. HTAP\_v2: a mosaic of regional and global emission
gridmaps for 2008 and 2010 to study hemispheric transport of air pollution. Atmospheric
Chemistry and Physics, 15, 12867-12909, doi:10.5194/acp-15-11411-2015

850

Janssens-Maenhout G, Muntean M, Crippa M, Guizzardi D, Schaaf E, Dentener F, Pagliari,
V, Olivier JGJ, Peters JAHW, van Aardenne JA, Monni S, Doering U, Petrescu AMR, 2017.
The 1970-2012 emissions atlas of EDGARv4.3.2: Part I – Greenhouse gas emissions. In

- 854 preparation
- 855

Kuenen J, Denier van der Gon HAC, Visschedijk A, Van der Brugh H, Van Gijlswijk R,
2011. MACC European emission inventory for the years 2003–2007. TNO-report TNO-060UT-2011-00588, Utrecht.

859

Kuenen JJP, Visschedijk AJH, Jozwicka M, Denier Van Der Gon HAC, 2014. TNOMACC\_II emission inventory; a multi-year (2003–2009) consistent high-resolution European
emission inventory for air quality modelling. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 14(20),
10963-10976, doi: 10.5194/acp-14-10963-2014

864

Kuenen J, Visschedijk A, Denier van der Gon HAC, 2015. TNO\_MACC-III inventory and its
application for FAIRMODE SoAp. Fairmode technical meeting,
http://fairmode.jrc.ec.europa.eu/, Aveiro, Portugal, June 2015

868

869 Lavalle C, Baranzelli C, Batista e Silva B, Mubareka S, Gomes CR, Koomen E, Hilferink M,

870 2011. A high resolution land use/cover modelling framework for Europe: introducing the EU-

- 871 ClueScanner100 model. International Conference on Computational Science and Its
  872 Applications, 60-75, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- 873
- 874 Lavalle C, Mubareka S, Perpina Castillo C, Jacobs-Criscioni C, Baranzelli C, Batista e Silva
- F, Vandecasteele I, 2013. Configuration of a reference scenario for the land use modelling
  platform. Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, Luxembourg, EUR
  26050 EN, doi: 10.2788/58826
- 878
- Lopez-Aparicio S, Guevara M, Thunis P, Cuvelier K, Tarrason L, 2017. Assessment of
  discrepancies between bottom-up and regional emission inventories in Norwegian urban
  areas. Atmospheric Environment, 154, 285-296, doi: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.02.004
- 882
- MACC-III, 2016. Monitoring Atmospheric Composition and Climate Phase III, Final
  Report. February, 2016.
- 885

Mailler S, Menut L, Khvorostyanov D, Valari M, Couvidat F, Siour G, Turquety S, Briant R,
Tuccella P, Bessagnet B, Colette A, Létinois L, Markakis K, Meleux F, 2017. CHIMERE2017: from urban to hemispheric chemistry-transport modelling. Geosci. Model Dev., 10,
2397-2423, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-2397-2017</u>

890

Mareckova K, Pinterits M, Tista M, Wankmueller R, 2016. Inventory Review 2016, Review
of emission data reported under the LRTAP Convention and NEC Directive, Stage 1 and 2
review, Status of gridded and LPS data. EMEP/CEIP Technical Report 1/2016, EEA/CEIP
Vienna

- 896 OpenStreetMap contributors. (2015) Planet dump [Data file from \$date of database dump\$].
- 897 Retrieved from <u>http://planet.openstreetmap.org</u> (Last checked in April, 2017)
- 898
- 899 Terrenoire E, Bessagnet B, Rouil L, Tognet F, Pirovano G, Letinois L, Beauchamp M,
  900 Colette A, Thunis P, Amann M, Menut L, 2015. High-resolution air quality simulation over

| 901 | Europe with the chemistry transport model CHIMERE. Geoscientific Model Development 8,          |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 902 | 21-42, doi: 10.5194/gmd-8-21-2015                                                              |
| 903 |                                                                                                |
| 904 | Theloke J, Thiruchittampalam B, Orlikova S, Uzbasich M, Gauger T, 2009. Methodology            |
| 905 | development for the spatial distribution of the diffuse emissions in Europe. Technical Report  |
| 906 | 139, European Commission, 070307/2009/548773/SER/C4                                            |
| 907 |                                                                                                |
| 908 | Theloke J, Thiruchittampalam B, Orlikova S, Uzbasich M, Friedrich R, 2012. A new model         |
| 909 | for distribution of emissions in a high spatial resolution at European scale. GEIA, Emissions  |
| 910 | to Address Science and Policy Emission Needs - Toulouse, June 11-13, 2012.                     |
| 911 |                                                                                                |
| 912 | Thunis P, Miranda A, Baldasano JM, Blond N, Douros J, Graff A, Janssen S, Juda-Rezler K,       |
| 913 | Karvosenoja N, Maffeis G, Martilli A, Rasoloharimahefa M, Real E, Viaene P, Volta M,           |
| 914 | White L, 2016a. Overview of current regional and local scale air quality modelling practices:  |
| 915 | assessment and planning tools in the EU. Environmental Science & Policy, 65, 13-21.            |
| 916 |                                                                                                |
| 917 | Thunis P, Degraeuwe B, Cuvelier K, Guevara M, Tarrasón L, Clappier A, 2016b. A novel           |
| 918 | approach to screen and compare emission inventories. Air Quality, Atmosphere & Health,         |
| 919 | 9(4), 325-333, doi: 10.1007/s11869-016-0402-7                                                  |
| 920 |                                                                                                |
| 921 | Trombetti M, Pisoni E, Lavalle C, 2017. Downscaling methodology to produce a high              |
| 922 | resolution gridded emission inventory to support local/city level air quality policies. Office |
| 923 | for Official Publications of the European Communities, Luxembourg, EUR 28428 EN, doi:          |
| 924 | 10.2760/51058                                                                                  |
| 925 |                                                                                                |
| 926 | UNECE, 2005. Working Parties on Transport Statistics (WP6), Volume of road Traffic, Draft      |
| 927 | chapters, <u>https://www.unece.org/trans/main/wp6/transstatac5report.html</u> (Last checked in |
| 928 | April, 2017)                                                                                   |
| 929 |                                                                                                |
| 930 | Vedrenne M, Borge R, Lumbreras J, Rodríguez ME, de la Paz D, Pérez J, de Andrés JM,            |

930 Vedrenne M, Borge R, Lumbreras J, Rodriguez ME, de la Paz D, Perez J, de Andres JM,
931 Quaassdorff C, 2016. A comprehensive approach for the evaluation and comparison of

932 emission inventories in Madrid. Atmospheric Environment, 145, 29-44, doi:
933 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.09.020

- 934
- 935 Viaene P, Janssen S, Carnevale C, Finzi G, Pisoni E, Volta M, Miranda A, Relvas H, Gama
- 936 C, Martili A, Douros J, Real E, Blond N, Clappier A, Ponche JL, Graff A, Thunis P, Juda-
- 937 Rezle K, 2013. Appraisal project (FP7-ENV CA 303895). D2.3 Air quality assessment and

planning, including modelling and measurement. Available from: http://www.appraisal-

939 fp7.eu/site/images/download/APPRAISAL\_D23\_V1.pdf

940

938

Wang SW, Zhang Q, Streets DG, He KB, Martin RV, Lamsal LN, Chen D, Lei Y, Lu Z,
2012. Growth in NO x emissions from power plants in China: bottom-up estimates and
satellite observations. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12(10), 4429-4447, doi:
10.5194/acp-12-4429-2012

945

Winiwarter W, Dore C, Hayman G, Vlachogiannis D, Gounaris N, Bartzis J, Ekstrand S,
Tamponi M, Maffeis G, 2003. Methods for comparing gridded inventories of atmospheric
emissions—application for Milan province, Italy and the Greater Athens Area, Greece.
Science of the Total Environment, 303(3), 231-243, doi: 10.1016/S0048-9697(02)00473-4

950

Zheng B, Zhang Q, Tong D, Chen C, Hong C, Li M, Geng G, Lei Y, Huo H, He K, 2017.
Resolution dependence of uncertainties in gridded emission inventories: a case study in
Hebei, China. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 17(2), 921-933, doi: 10.5194/acp-17-9212017

955

Zhou Y, Zhao Y, Mao P, Zhang Q, Zhang J, Qiu L, Yang Y, 2017. Development of a highresolution emission inventory and its evaluation and application through air quality modeling
for Jiangsu Province, China. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 17(1), 211-233, doi:
10.5194/acp-17-211-2017

- Assessment of inconsistencies between Top-down emission inventories at the European scale
- First step towards harmonization of spatial proxies for emission distribution
- Tools for comparison of downscaled emissions at different levels are presented
- Urban Emissions of NOx, PPM2.5, SOx and VOC from SNAP02, 34 and 07 are compared