

# Self-reported parental exposure to pesticide during pregnancy and birth outcomes: The MecoExpo cohort study

Flora Mayhoub, Thierry Berton, Véronique Bach, Karine Tack, Caroline Deguines, Adeline Floch-Barneaud, Sophie Desmots, Erwan Stephan, Karen Chardon

# ▶ To cite this version:

Flora Mayhoub, Thierry Berton, Véronique Bach, Karine Tack, Caroline Deguines, et al.. Self-reported parental exposure to pesticide during pregnancy and birth outcomes: The MecoExpo cohort study. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9 (6), pp.e99090. 10.1371/journal.pone.0099090. ineris-01862439

# HAL Id: ineris-01862439 https://ineris.hal.science/ineris-01862439

Submitted on 27 Aug 2018

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



# Self-Reported Parental Exposure to Pesticide during Pregnancy and Birth Outcomes: The MecoExpo Cohort Study



Flora Mayhoub<sup>1,6</sup>, Thierry Berton<sup>1,2</sup>, Véronique Bach<sup>1</sup>, Karine Tack<sup>2</sup>, Caroline Deguines<sup>1,3</sup>, Adeline Floch-Barneaud<sup>1,4</sup>, Sophie Desmots<sup>1,5</sup>, Erwan Stéphan-Blanchard<sup>1</sup>, Karen Chardon<sup>1</sup>\*

1 Laboratoire PériTox, Unité mixte Université – INERIS (EA 4285-UMI 01), Université de Picardie Jules Verne, Amiens, France, 2 Unité NOVA, Institut National de l'Environnement Industriel et des Risques, Verneuil en Halatte, France, 3 Médecine Néonatale, Pôle Femme-Couple-Enfant, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire d'Amiens, Amiens, France, 4 Unité ISAE, Institut National de l'Environnement Industriel et des Risques, Verneuil en Halatte, France, 5 Unité TOXI, Institut National de l'Environnement Industriel et des Risques, Verneuil en Halatte, France, 6 Faculty of Medicine, Tishreen University, Latakia, Syria

#### **Abstract**

The MecoExpo study was performed in the Picardy region of northern France, in order to investigate the putative relationship between parental exposures to pesticides (as reported by the mother) on one hand and neonatal parameters on the other. The cohort comprised 993 mother-newborn pairs. Each mother completed a questionnaire that probed occupational, domestic, environmental and dietary sources of parental exposure to pesticides during her pregnancy. Multivariate regression analyses were then used to test for associations between the characteristics of parental pesticide exposure during pregnancy and the corresponding birth outcomes. Maternal occupational exposure was associated with an elevated risk of low birth weight (odds ratio (OR) [95% confidence interval]: 4.2 [1.2, 15.4]). Paternal occupational exposure to pesticides was associated with a lower than average gestational age at birth (-0.7 weeks; p = 0.0002) and an elevated risk of prematurity (OR: 3.7 [1.4, 9.7]). Levels of domestic exposure to veterinary antiparasitics and to pesticides for indoor plants were both associated with a low birth weight (-70 g; p = 0.02 and -160 g; p = 0.005, respectively). Babies born to women living in urban areas had a lower birth length and a higher risk of low birth length (-0.4 cm, p = 0.006 and OR: 2.9 [1.5, 5.5], respectively). The present study results mainly demonstrate a negative correlation between fetal development on one hand and parental occupational and domestic exposure to pesticides on the other. Our study highlights the need to perform a global and detailed screening of all potential physiological effects when assessing in utero exposure to pesticides.

Citation: Mayhoub F, Berton T, Bach V, Tack K, Deguines C, et al. (2014) Self-Reported Parental Exposure to Pesticide during Pregnancy and Birth Outcomes: The MecoExpo Cohort Study. PLoS ONE 9(6): e99090. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0099090

Editor: Olga Y. Gorlova, Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth College, United States of America

Received January 15, 2014; Accepted May 9, 2014; Published June 20, 2014

**Copyright:** © 2014 Mayhoub et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Funding:** This work was funded by the Picardy Regional Council. F. Mayhoub was funded by Tishreen University (Latakia, Syria) and T. Berton was funded by the European Regional Development Fund. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

1

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

\* Email: karen.chardon@u-picardie.fr

#### Introduction

Human exposure to pesticides is a very complex phenomenon, since it involves many different compounds, sources of exposure and exposure pathways (i.e. respiratory, cutaneous and intestinal pathways). Once pesticides have been applied, the primary compounds and their degradation products are dispersed into the air, water and soil. Human exposure to pesticides can be occupational (through agriculture, floristry, municipal maintenance, etc.), dietary (through the consumption of food contaminated by pesticide residues), domestic (through the spraying of houseplants or garden plants, the eradication of domestic insect pests (such as mosquitoes, flies, etc.) and the use of antiparasitics in humans or in domestic pets) or environmental (i.e. the inhalation of volatilized pesticides of agricultural or non-agricultural origin) [1–2]. Although occupational exposure has been extensively investigated, there are few studies of domestic exposure [2].

Pesticide exposure during pregnancy is becoming an increasingly important public health issue because it may affect the development of the exposed fetus. The association between

pesticide exposure in pregnant women and fetal growth has [11,14]. However, this topic is still subject to debate because the various studies did not reach consistent conclusions - probably because of differences in location, exposure assessment methods and the type and number of compounds investigated [3–12]. Furthermore, most of these epidemiological studies focused on a very specific population, such as farmers or other populations with high levels of pesticide exposure (e.g. those living near to cropfarming areas or other areas with intensive pesticide use). To the best of our knowledge, very few studies [9,10,13,14] have studied the relationship between "routine" domestic/dietary parental exposure on one hand and birth outcomes on the other. There are few data on the need for prevention of these types of exposure, and these data can only be gathered in general population cohorts.

The primary objective of the present MecoExpo study was to assess the different types of prenatal exposure to pesticides in the Picardy region of northern France (a region which is characterized by a high birth rate (13.1‰, according to the French National Institute of Statistics and Economic Studies (*Institut National de la* 

Statistique et des Études Économiques), relative to the national average. A secondary objective was to investigate the relationship between the different modes of exposure on one hand and birth outcomes on the other. Prenatal exposure to pesticides was assessed via a self-questionnaire filled out by the mother; this is the only method that can simultaneously gather information on all the various sources of intrauterine exposure to pesticides (i.e. occupational, domestic, environmental and dietary exposure) in a sample of the general population.

#### Methods

#### 2.1 Study participants

The MecoExpo cohort (comprising 993 mother-newborn pairs) was recruited between January 2011 and January 2012. Eleven of Picardy's 16 maternity clinics agreed to participate in the study. Unfortunately, the region's neonatal intensive care units (which treat newborns suffering from a severe neonatal disease or with a gestational age <32 weeks at birth) did not participate.

To be included in the MecoExpo cohort, the mother had to be had to be (i) 18 years of age or older and (ii) fluent enough in French to understand the study's objectives and procedures. Mothers aged under 18 and mothers who did not have full parental authority over their child (such as incarcerated persons) were excluded from the study. Multiple births were also excluded from the present study.

The study and both maternal and neonatal data collection (questionnaire, medical records) were approved by the local investigational review board (Comité de Protection des Personnes dans la Recherche Biomédicale de Picardie). Potential participants were given a verbal presentation of the study by their attending pediatrician in the maternity clinic. All mothers signed an informed consent giving us permission to enroll them and their infants in the study. A study information sheet was given to the women prior to their completion of the study questionnaire. During completion of the self-administred questionnaire, an investigating physician was always on hand to provide explanations but did not influence the answers. In view of the many different aspects of possible exposure probed by the questionnaire and in order to shorten and facilitate the questionnaire's completion, the respondee was instructed not to answer a given question if in any doubt. Most of the questions were "yes"/"no" or multiple choice questions. Open questions were used to gather additional information, when necessary. None of the data collected during the study enabled the direct identification of participants.

# 2.2 Characteristics of intrauterine exposure to pesticides in a questionnaire-based survey

Mothers from the cohort completed the questionnaire during their stay in the maternity clinic (4 to 5 days after giving birth). The questionnaire addressed occupational, domestic, environmental and dietary sources of pesticide exposure. Prior to use in the study, the questionnaire was validated in a sample of 25 women (aged between 25 and 45 and from various socioprofessional categories). It was then modified by taking account of the women's answers, comments and questions. The final questionnaire comprised 44 items and took respondees about 15 minutes to read and complete.

**2.2.1 Occupational exposure to pesticides.** The mother was asked to state (i) whether she had ever worked during the pregnancy (and, if so, the duration), (ii) whether her occupation and/or that of the father involved exposure to pesticides (e.g. in agriculture, animal husbandry, gardening, etc.) and (iii) the modalities of maternal exposure to pesticides (the duration and

location of exposure, the type of compound and the use or not of protective equipment).

**2.2.2 Domestic exposure to pesticides.** The questionnaire probed possible uses of pesticides in the mother's home during her pregnancy (whether applied directly by the mother or indirectly by another person). The mother was asked to report use of (i) antiparasitics for human administration (to treat lice, scabies, ticks, etc.), (ii) antiparasitics for domestic pets (to treat fleas, ticks, etc.) and the number and type of treated animals, (iii) insecticides, herbicides, fungicides and plant growth regulators for treating houseplants or garden plants and (iv) pesticides for eradicating domestic insect pests (such as flies, mosquitoes, etc.).

**2.2.3 Environmental exposure to pesticides.** The mother was asked to state whether she lived within 1 km of (i) green areas (a park, a sports field, etc.), (ii) a crop field, (iii) a highway, a railway or an airport. The mother was also asked to state the locality in which she had lived during her pregnancy. We then queried a database (produced by the French National Institute of Statistics and Economic Studies) to obtain the population density for each locality. The localities were then arbitrarily divided into rural localities (<2~000~ inhabitants/km $^2$ ) and urban localities (<2~000~ inhabitants/km $^2$ ).

**2.2.4 Questions on dietary exposure.** The mother was asked to state (i) her total dietary intake of the main food categories (fruits and vegetables, cereals, milk products and meat) during pregnancy (possible answers were "never or almost never", "not every day", "every day", "several times a day") and (ii) the frequency with which the consumed fruits and vegetables, milk products and meat were organic foods (with possible answers of "never", "sometimes", "most of the time").

#### 2.3 Birth outcomes

Neonatal data - gestational age (weeks of amenorrhea), birth weight (g), birth length (cm) and head circumference at birth (cm) - were extracted from medical records by the maternity clinic's pediatrician. Prematurity was defined as birth before 37 weeks of amenorrhea. Low birth weight or length was defined as a birth weight or length (adjusted for the mother's age, weight, and height, the rank of pregnancy, the gestational age and the baby's gender) below the 5<sup>th</sup> percentile (relative to normative data for France) [15–16]. Small head circumference was defined as a measurement (adjusted for gestational age and the baby's gender) below the 5<sup>th</sup> percentile (relative to normative data for France) [15]. Infants with missing neonatal or maternal data on adverse birth outcomes were not considered in these analyses.

## 2.4 Confounding factors

Factors known or suspected (on the basis of the scientific literature) to have an effect on fetal development were considered as confounding factors, i.e. the mother's age and body mass index (BMI), parity, diabetes, hypertension, tobacco use, alcohol use, drug abuse, socioprofessional category (educational level and type of work), and the baby's gender.

#### 2.5 Data processing

The study data (questionnaire data, birth outcomes and covariates) were recorded using Sphinx plus<sup>2</sup> software (version 5.1.0.6, Le Sphinx Développement, Chavanod, France). Some questionnaire answers were combined, in order to obtain new, composite variables that were more relevant than those obtained from single questions. Thus, the composite variables used in the assessment of intrauterine exposure to pesticides included maternal or paternal occupational exposure, maternal domestic exposure to human antiparasitics, veterinary antiparasitics, and

**Table 1.** Maternal characteristics in the MecoExpo cohort (n = 993).

Variables	
Age (year)	Mean (SD)
	29 (5.2)
Parity:	n(%)
Primiparous	409 (41.7)
Multiparous	573 (58.3)
Missing data	11
Maternal diabetes during pregnancy:	n(%)
Yes	62 (6.2)
No	931 (93.8)
Maternal hypertension during pregnancy:	n(%)
Yes	47 (4.7)
No	946 (95.3)
Maternal smoking during pregnancy:	n(%)
Yes	293 (29.5)
No	700 (70.5)
Maternal educational level:	n(%)
≤High school completed	504 (53.7)
>High school completed	434 (46.3)
Missing data	55
Working during pregnancy:	n(%)
Yes	671 (67.6)
No	322 (32.4)
Place of residence during pregnancy:	n(%)
Rural locality	774 (85.9)
Urban locality	127 (14.1)
Missing data	92

domestic pesticides for houseplants or garden plants, environmental exposure (the home's proximity to a crop fields, green areas, highways, railways or airports) and dietary exposure (consumption of fruits and vegetables, cereals, milk products and meat; consumption of organic fruits and vegetables, etc.).

## 2.6 Statistical analyses

The MecoExpo cohort's demographics and intrauterine exposure to pesticides were first characterized in a descriptive analysis. Quantitative parameters (gestational age, birth weight, etc.) were expressed as the mean and the standard deviation (SD). Qualitative parameters (prematurity, low birth weight, maternal occupational exposure to pesticides, etc.) were expressed as the number and the percentage of the study population.

Multivariate linear regression and logistic regression analyses were used to study the putative associations between birth outcomes and the characteristics of *in utero* exposure to pesticides. Using forward selection, covariates with a p-value<0.20 in a univariate analysis were fed into in the multivariate analyses. The risk of an adverse birth outcome (prematurity, small head circumference, and low birth weight and low birth length) was expressed as an odds ratio (OR) [95% confidence interval (CI)]. The 95% CI was calculated according to Woolf's method, with an

**Table 2.** Newborn characteristics in the MecoExpo cohort (n = 993).

Variables	Mean (SD)	n (%)
Gestational age (weeks)	39.3 (1.3)	924 (93.1)
Missing data		69 (6.9)
Birth weight (g)	3340 (492)	932 (93.9)
Missing data		61 (6.1)
Birth length (cm)	49.5 (2.1)	922 (92.8
Missing data		71 (7.2)
Head circumference at birth (cm)	34.4 (1.4)	911 (91.7
Missing data		82 (8.3)
Gender:		
male		508 (51.2
female		485 (48.8
Prematurity:		
Yes		24 (2.6)
No		900 (97.4
Missing data		69
Low birth weight:		
Yes		788 (94.9
No		42 (5.1)
Missing data		163
Low birth length:		
Yes		56 (6.8)
No		764 (93.2)
Missing data		173
Small head circumference:		
Yes		34 (4.0)
No		822 (96.0
Missing data		137

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0099090.t002

alpha risk of 0.05. All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS software (V.20.0, Chicago, IL, USA).

#### Results

## 3.1 Characteristics of the study population

A total of 993 mother-newborn pairs were included in the MecoExpo cohort. Given that some questionnaire answers or clinical values were missing, the total n for some variables was below 993 and so the corresponding missing data rates are also reported.

The characteristics of the MecoExpo study population are summarized in Table 1 and Table 2. The mean (SD) maternal age was 29 (5.2) and the mean pre-pregnancy BMI was 24.4 (5.5) kg/  $\rm m^2$ . Six percent of the mothers were diabetic and less than 5% had arterial hypertension during pregnancy. Forty-two percent of the women were primiparous, 46% had completed high school and 68% had been working during their pregnancy. About 30% of the mothers stated smoking during pregnancy; the corresponding values for drinking alcohol and illicit drug use were 3% and 1%, respectively. Overall, 86% of the women lived in rural localities (<2 000 inhabitants/km²).

**Table 3.** Characteristics of prenatal exposure to pesticides (n = 993).

Variables	n (%)
Occupational exposure to pesticides	
Mother:	
Yes	43 (4.3)
No	950 (95.7)
Father:	
Yes	86 (8.7)
No	907 (91.3)
Maternal domestic exposure to pesticides	
Human antiparasitics:	
Yes	328 (33.0)
No	665 (67.0)
Veterinary antiparasitics:	
Yes	215 (21.7)
No	776 (78.3)
Missing data	2
Pesticides against insects:	
Yes	127 (13.0)
No	850 (87.0)
Missing data	16
Pesticides for indoor plants:	
Yes	37 (3.7)
No	956 (96.3)
Pesticides for outdoor plants:	
Yes	166 (17.0)
No	813 (83.0)
Missing data	14
Maternal environmental exposure to pesticide	es
Proximity to a crop field (<1 km):	
Yes	527 (58.2)
No	378 (41.8)
Missing data	88
Proximity to a green area:	
Yes	707 (72.7)
No	266 (27.3)
Missing data	20
Proximity to a highway, railway or airport:	
Yes	366 (37.4)
No	612 (62.6)
Missing data	15

# 3.2 Characteristics of in utero exposure to pesticides

The main characteristics of prenatal exposure to pesticides (as assessed by the mothers' questionnaire data) are summarized in Table 3 and 4.

**3.2.1 Occupational exposure to pesticides.** 43 mothers and 86 fathers (4.3% and 8.7% of the total sample, respectively) worked in an occupation in which there was potential for exposure to pesticides. Fifteen mothers and 42 fathers (1.5% and 4.2% of the total sample, respectively) had agricultural occupations.

**Table 4.** Characteristics of prenatal exposure to pesticides (n = 993) (continued).

Variables	n (%)
Maternal dietary exposure to pesticides	(total food intake)
Fruits and vegetables:	
Never or almost never	18 (1.8)
Not every day	319 (32.3)
Every day	362 (36.6)
Several times a day	289 (29.3)
Missing data	5
Cereals:	
Never or almost never	4 (0.4)
Not every day	173 (17.6)
Every day	532 (54.1)
Several times a day	274 (27.9)
Missing data	10
Dairy products:	
Never or almost never	8 (0.8)
Not every day	111 (11.3)
Every day	430 (43.7)
Several times a day	436 (44.3)
Missing data	8
Meat:	
Never or almost never	23 (2.4)
Not every day	365 (37.5)
Every day	489 (50.2)
Several times a day	97 (10.0)
Missing data	19
Maternal dietary exposure to pesticides (o	organic food intake)
Fruits and vegetables:	
Never	518 (52.4)
Sometimes	375 (38.0)
Most of the time	95 (9.6)
Missing data	5
Dairy products:	
Never	654 (66.3)
Sometimes	265 (26.9)
Most of the time	68 (6.9)
Missing data	6
Meat:	
Never	827 (84.0)
Sometimes	137 (13.9)
Most of the time	20 (2.0)
Missing data	9

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0099090.t004

**3.2.2 Domestic exposure to pesticides.** 33% of the mothers were exposed to human antiparasitics, with 22% exposed to veterinary antiparasitics, 13% exposed to domestic insecticides, 4% exposed to pesticides for houseplants and 17% exposed to pesticides for garden plants.

**Table 5.** Multivariate associations between factors related to *in utero* exposure to pesticides and gestational age and prematurity.

Variable	Gest (wee	tational age eks)	Prematurity		
	n	Mean (SD)	Cases/ controls	OR [95% CI]	
Total sample	924	-	24/900	-	
Occupational exposure					
Mother:					
Yes	21	39.2 (1.4)	1/20	NA	
No	903	39.5 (1.3)	23/880	Reference	
Father:					
Yes	80	38.8 (1.7)**	6/74	3.7 [1.4, 9.7]*	
No	844	39.5 (1.3)	18/826	Reference	
Maternal domestic exposu	ire				
Human antiparasitics:					
Yes	307	39.6 (1.2)	7/300	0.8 [0.3, 2.0	
No	617	39.4 (1.4)	17/600	Reference	
Veterinary antiparasitics:					
Yes	201	39.4 (1.4)	6/195	1.2 [0.5, 3.1	
Non	721	39.5 (1.3)	18/703	Reference	
Missing data	2		0/2	-	
Pesticides against insects:					
Yes	115	39.6 (1.2)	3/112	1.0 [0.3, 3.4	
No	794	39.4 (1.3)	20/774	Reference	
Missing data	15	-	1/14	-	
Pesticides for indoor plants:					
Yes	35	39.4 (1.2)	1/34	NA	
No	889	39.5 (1.3)	23/866	Reference	
Pesticides for outdoor plants:					
Yes	156	39.5 (1.2)	2/154	NA	
No	754	39.5 (1.3)	21/733	Reference	
Missing	14	-	1/13	-	
Maternal environmental e	xposu	ire			
Proximity to a crop field:					
Yes	490	39.4 (1.4)	17/473	2.1 [0.8, 5.4	
No	356	39.6 (1.3)	6/350	Reference	
Missing data	78	-	1/77	-	
Proximity to a green area:					
Yes	660	39.5 (1.3)	19/641	1.8 [0.6, 5.3	
No	244	39.5 (1.3)	4/240	Reference	
Missing data	20	-	1/19	-	
Proximity to a highway, railwa or airport:	ny				
Yes	339	39.5 (1.3)	8/331	0.9 [0.4, 2.2	
No	571	39.5 (1.3)	15/556	Reference	
Missing data	14	-	1/13	-	

**3.2.3 Environmental exposure to pesticides.** 58% of the mothers lived near to a crop field crop, 73% lived near to a green area and 37% lived near to a highway, railroad line or airport.

**3.2.4 Dietary exposure to pesticides.** 29% of mothers consumed fruits and vegetables "several times" a day and 44% consumed milk products "several times a day". Fifty percent consumed cereals "every day" and 50% consumed meat "every day".

Only 10% of the mothers consumed organic fruits and vegetables "most of the time", whereas the corresponding proportions organic milk products and organic meat were 7% and 2%, respectively.

# 3.3 Multivariate associations between fetal growth and estimated in utero exposure to pesticides

**3.3.1 Gestational age and prematurity (Table 5, 6).** Estimated paternal occupational exposure to pesticides was significantly associated with lower gestational age (-0.7 weeks, relative to infants whose parents were not occupationally exposed to pesticides; p = 0.006) and a higher risk of prematurity (OR [95% CI]: 3.7 [1.4, 9.7]; p = 0.02). Maternal exposure (whether occupational, domestic, environmental or dietary) was not significantly associated with either gestational age or the risk of prematurity.

**3.3.2 Birth weight (as a continuous variable) and low birth (Table 7, 8).** Estimated maternal occupational exposure was associated with higher risk of low birth weight (OR [95% CI]: 4.2 [1.2, 15.4]; p = 0.01). Exposure to human and veterinary antiparasitics were both significantly associated with a lower birth weight (-70 g; p = 0.02 and -85 g; p = 0.04, respectively). Exposure to pesticides for houseplants was also associated with lower birth weight (-160 g; p = 0.03). No association with dietary exposure was observed.

**3.3.3 Birth length (as a continuous variable) and low birth length (Table 9, 10).** The risk of low of low birth length was significantly associated with the mother's residence in an urban area (OR [95% CI]: 2.9 [1.5, 5.5]; p = 0.01]. No associations with parental occupational exposure or dietary exposure were observed

**3.3.4** Birth head circumference at birth (as a continuous variable) and small head circumference (Table 11, 12). In multivariate statistical models, no significant association was found between head circumference at birth or small head circumference on one hand and the variables describing the *in utero* exposure to pesticides on the other.

## Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first one to simultaneously examine the association between all sources of *in utero* exposure to pesticides (i.e. occupational, domestic, environmental and dietary sources) and four common descriptors of fetal development (gestational age, birth weight, birth length and head circumference at birth). The prevalence of fetal growth restriction with respect to these parameters (i.e. prematurity, low birth weight, low birth length and small head circumference) was also considered.

Fetal growth is conditioned by many different environmental, genetic, metabolic, nutritional and placental factors. It is well known that adverse fetal growth is a good predictor of neonatal mortality and morbidity [17–19]. Fetal growth restriction may therefore be a determining factor for some diseases of childhood (since infants with poor head growth appear to run an increased risk of cerebral palsy, cognitive impairment and behavioral disorders) [20,21] (ii) diseases of adolescence (since extremely low birth weight may be associated with a higher prevalence of developmental delay, neurosensory impairments (seizures, visual

**Table 6.** Multivariate associations between factors related to in utero exposure to pesticides and gestational age and prematurity (continued).

tural locality 1714 39.4 (1.4) 21/693 Reference 1724 1725 NA 1722 NA 1725 NA 1					
situate locality         123         39.6 (1.3)         1/122         NA           disting data         87         -         2/85         -           Asternal distary exposure (total food intake)           ruits and vegetables.           Interval of merer         16         393.(1.3)         8/200         Reference           very day         333         39.4(1.3)         10/323         1.1 [0.4, 29]           every day         333         39.4(1.3)         10/323         1.1 [0.4, 29]           every day         330         39.4(1.3)         0.723         1.1 [0.4, 29]           every day         330         39.4(1.3)         0.723         1.1 [0.4, 29]           every day         394         1.2         39.4 (1.3)         0.73         NA           terests.           every day         488         39.5 (1.4)         15/473         1.7 [0.5, 59]           every day         90         39.4 (1.3)         1.7 [0.5, 59]         Reference           string products.           every day         39         39.4 (1.3)         1.7 [0.5, 59]         Reference           terester da	Place of residence during pregnancy:				
dissing data         87         -         2/85         -           Atternal dietary exposure (total food intake)         Atternal dietary exposure (total food intake)           Internal sand vegetables:	Rural locality	714	39.4 (1.4)	21/693	Reference
Reference   Refe	Jrban locality	123	39.6 (1.3)	1/122	NA
ruits and vegetables:  lever or almost never lot or every day  and the very day  and	lissing data	87	-	2/85	-
Sever or almost never   16   39.3(1.4)   0/16   NA   1.0	Naternal dietary exposure (total food intake)				
tot every day         298         39.5(1.3)         8/290         Reference           very day         333         39.4(1.3)         10/323         1.1 (b.4. 2.9)           everal times a day         52         -         0/5         2.8 (3.2, 4.)           sitissing data         5         -         0/5         -           eterals:         -         -         0/3         NA           tot every day         162         39.4 (1.2)         3/150         Reference           every day         488         39.5 (1.4)         15/473         1.7 (0.5, 5.9)           every day         488         39.5 (1.4)         15/473         1.7 (0.5, 5.9)           every day         60         39.5 (1.3)         6/254         1.3 (0.3, 5.1)           every day         7         39.6 (0.7)         0/7         NA           products a day         105         39.4 (1.1)         2/103         Reference           levery day         105         39.4 (1.1)         2/103         Reference           very day         30         39.4 (1.1)         12/33         1.6 (0.4, 1.1)           dissing data         39.5 (1.2)         1/23         1.6 (0.4, 1.1)           dissing data	ruits and vegetables:				
very day         333         394(1.3)         10/323         1.1 [0.4, 2.9]           everal times a day         272         39.6(1.3)         6/266         0.8 [0.3, 2.4]           fishing data         5         -         0/5         -           everal times a day         8         39.7 (0.5)         0/3         NA           lot every day         162         39.4 (1.2)         3/159         Reference           every day         488         39.5 (1.4)         15.73         1.7 (0.5, 5.9)           everal times a day         200         39.5 (1.3)         6/254         1.3 (0.5, 5.1)           fishing data         79         -         0.79         -           lot every day         105         39.4 (1.1)         2/103         Reference           lot every day         105         39.4 (1.1)         2/103         Reference           very day         105         39.4 (1.1)         2/103         1.6 (0.4, 7.1)           fishing data         8         -         0/8         -           feeter ce         403         39.5 (1.0)         1/21         NA           fot every day         338         39.5 (1.2)         1/21         NA           fot ever	Never or almost never	16	39.3(1.4)	0/16	NA
everal times a day	Not every day	298	39.5(1.3)	8/290	Reference
Second   S	Every day	333	39.4(1.3)	10/323	1.1 [0.4, 2.9]
lever or almost never 49	Several times a day	272	39.6(1.3)	6/266	0.8 [0.3, 2.4]
dever or almost never         4         39.7 (0.5)         0/3         NA           lot every day         162         39.4 (1.2)         3/159         Reference           very day         488         39.5 (1.4)         15/473         1.7 (0.5, 5.9)           very day         260         39.5 (1.3)         6/254         13 (0.5, 5.9)           very day         7         2         0.79         -           bissing data         79         39.6 (0.7)         0/7         NA           very day         195         39.4 (1.1)         2/103         Reference           very day         399         39.4 (1.1)         2/103         Reference           very day         399         39.4 (1.1)         2/103         Reference           very day         399         39.5 (1.4)         12/393         1.6 (0.4, 7.1)           devery day         409         39.5 (1.4)         12/393         1.6 (0.4, 7.1)           devery day         38         39.5 (1.4)         12/393         1.6 (0.4, 7.1)           devery day         38         39.5 (1.4)         16/442         3.0 [1.0, 9.1]           devery day         48         39.5 (1.4)         16/442         3.0 [1.0, 9.1]	Missing data	5	-	0/5	-
162   39.4 (1.2)   3/159   Reference   182   39.5 (1.4)   15/473   1.7 [0.5, 5.9]   1.8	Cereals:				
every day         488         39.5 (1.4)         15/473         1.7 [0.5, 5.9]           everal times a day         260         39.5(1.3)         6/254         1.3 [0.3, 5.1]           dissing data         79         -         079         -           bairy products:         vever or almost never         7         39.6 (0.7)         0/7         NA           lot every day         105         39.4 (1.1)         2/103         Reference           every day         399         39.4 (1.3)         10/389         1.3 [0.3, 6.1]           every day         80         -         0/8         -           fester         -         0/8	Never or almost never	4	39.7 (0.5)	0/3	NA
everal times a day 260 39.5(1.3) 6/254 1.3 [0.3, 5.1]  Alssing data 79 - 0,79 - 0,79 - 1,750 1.5 [1.5]  Alssing data 79 - 0,79 - 0,79 - 1,750 1.5 [1.5]  Alssing data 79 39.6 (0.7) 0/7 NA  Lever or almost never 79 39.6 (0.7) 0/7 NA  Lever or almost never 89 39.4 (1.1) 2/103 Reference  Lever or almost never 99 39.4 (1.3) 10/389 1.3 [0.3, 6.1]  Lever or almost never 99 39.4 (1.3) 10/389 1.3 [0.3, 6.1]  Lever or almost never 99 39.5 (1.4) 12/393 1.6 [0.4, 7.1]  Alssing data 89 7.0 (9.8) 1.2 [0.3, 6.1]  Lever or almost never 99 39.5 (1.4) 1/210 NA  Lever or almost never 99 39.5 (1.2) 1/21 NA  Lever or almost never 99 39.5 (1.2) 1/21 NA  Lever or almost never 99 39.5 (1.2) 1/21 NA  Lever 1 Limes a day 89 39.5 (1.2) 1/21 NA  Lever 1 Limes a day 89 39.5 (1.2) 1/21 NA  Lever 1 Limes a day 89 39.5 (1.2) 1/21 NA  Lever 1 Limes a day 89 39.5 (1.2) 1/21 NA  Lever 1 Limes a day 89 39.5 (1.2) 1/21 NA  Lever 1 Limes a day 99.5 (1.3) 1/21 NA  Lever 1 Limes a day 99.5 (1.3) 1/21 NA  Lever 1 Limes a day 99.5 (1.3) 1/21 NA  Lever 1 Limes a day 99.5 (1.3) 1/21 NA  Lever 1 Limes a day 99.5 (1.3) 1/21 NA  Lever 1 Limes a day 99.5 (1.3) 1/21 NA  Lever 1 Limes a day 99.5 (1.3) 1/21 NA  Lever 1 Limes a day 99.5 (1.3) 1/21 NA  Lever 1 Limes a day 99.5 (1.3) 1/21 NA  Lever 1 Limes a day 99.5 (1.3) 1/21 NA  Lever 1 Limes a day 99.5 (1.3) 1/21 NA  Lever 1 Limes a day 99.5 (1.3) 1/21 NA  Lever 1 Limes a day 99.5 (1.3) 1/21 NA  Lever 1 Limes a day 99.5 (1.3) 1/21 NA  Lever 1 Limes a day 99.5 (1.3) 1/21 NA  Lever 1 Limes a day 99.5 (1.3) 1/21 NA  Lever 1 Limes a day 99.5 (1.3) 1/21 NA  Lever 1 Limes a day 99.5 (1.3) 1/21 NA  Lever 1 Limes a day 99.5 (1.3) 1/21 NA  Lever 1 Limes a day 1/21 NA  Lever 1 L	Not every day	162	39.4 (1.2)	3/159	Reference
Alsing data         79         -         079         -           Pairy products:         100         39.6 (0.7)         0/7         NA           Lever or almost never         7         39.6 (0.7)         0/7         NA           Lot every day         399         39.4 (1.1)         2/103         Reference           vevery day         405         39.5 (1.4)         12/393         1.6 (0.4, 7.1)           Alester         8         -         0/8         -           Alester         -         0/8         -         -           Alester         -         0/8         -         -         -           Alester         -         0/8         -<	very day	488	39.5 (1.4)	15/473	1.7 [0.5, 5.9]
All Products:  All Pr	Several times a day	260	39.5(1.3)	6/254	1.3 [0.3, 5.1]
All Products:  All Pr	Missing data	79	-	0/79	
stot every day       105       39.4 (1.1)       2/103       Reference         every day       399       39.4 (1.3)       10/389       1.3 [0.3, 6.1]         everal times a day       405       39.5 (1.4)       12/393       1.6 [0.4, 7.1]         dissing data       8       -       0/8       -         deat:       -       0/8       -         lever or almost never       22       39.5 (2.0)       1/21       NA         lot every day       388       39.5 (1.2)       4/334       Reference         very day       458       39.4 (1.4)       16/422       3.0 [1.0, 9.1]         every day       458       39.4 (1.4)       16/422       3.0 [0.7, 13.4]         every day       458       39.5 (1.6)       3/8       3.0 [0.7, 13.4]         every day       458       39.4 (1.4)       16/422       3.0 [0.7, 13.4]         every day       481       39.5 (1.6)       3/8       3.0 [0.7, 13.4]         every day       482       39.5 (1.6)       3/8       3.0 [0.7, 13.4]         every day       481       39.5 (1.6)       3/8       6       2.0 [0.7, 13.4]         every day       481       39.5 (1.0)       13/468       0.6 [0.2	Dairy products:				
sick every day       105       39.4 (1.1)       2/103       Reference         every day       399       39.4 (1.3)       10/389       1.3 [0.3, 6.1]         everal times a day       405       39.5 (1.4)       12/393       1.6 [0.4, 7.1]         dissing data       8       -       0/8       -         deat:       -       -       0/8       -         lever or almost never       2       39.5 (2.0)       1/21       NA         lot every day       338       39.5 (1.2)       4/334       Reference         very day       458       39.4 (1.4)       16/442       3.0 [1.0, 9.1]         every day       458       39.4 (1.4)       16/442       3.0 [1.0, 9.1]         every day       458       39.4 (1.4)       16/442       3.0 [1.0, 9.1]         every day       481       39.5 (1.6)       0/87       3.0 [1.0, 9.1]         every day       481       39.4 (1.4)       13/468       0.6 [0.2, 1.3]         every day       481       39.4 (1.4)       13/468       0.6 [0.2, 1.9]         every day       481       39.4 (1.4)       13/468       0.6 [0.2, 1.9]         every day       481       39.4 (1.4)       13/468       0.6 [	Never or almost never	7	39.6 (0.7)	0/7	NA
every day     399     394, (1.3)     10/389     1.3 [0.3, 6.1]       everal times a day     405     39.5 (1.4)     12/393     1.6 [0.4, 7.1]       dissing data     8     -     0/8     -       Neat:     -     -     -       lever or almost never     22     39.5 (2.0)     1/21     NA       lot every day     338     39.5 (1.2)     4/334     Reference       very day     458     39.4 (1.4)     16/442     3.0 [1.0, 9.1]       dissing     87     -     0/87     -       Asternal dietary exposure (organic food intake)     87     -     0/87     -       very     481     39.4 (1.4)     13/468     0.6 [0.2, 1.9]       dost of the time     481     39.6 (1.2)     7/340     0.5 [0.1, 1.6]       dost of the time     91     39.3 (1.5)     4/87     Reference       dissing data     5     -     0/5     -       dever     607     39.5 (1.3)     17/590     1.8 [0.2, 13.9]       ometimes     5     -     0/5     -       dissing data     6     39.5 (1.3)     1/63     Reference       dissing data     6     39.5 (1.3)     1/63     Reference       dissing data	Not every day	105		2/103	Reference
everal times a day       405       39.5 (1.4)       12/393       1.6 [0.4, 7.1]         dissing data       8       -       0/8       -         deat:       -       -       -       -         lever or almost never       22       39.5 (2.0)       1/21       NA         lot every day       338       39.5 (1.2)       4/334       Reference         everal times a day       458       39.4 (1.4)       16/442       3.0 [1.0, 9.1]         dissing       87       -       0/87       -         Alaternal dietary exposure (organic food intake)         Tuits and vegetables:         lever       481       39.4 (1.4)       13/468       0.6 [0.2, 1.9]         dost of the time       347       39.6 (1.2)       7/340       0.5 [0.1, 1.6]         dissing data       5       -       -       0/5       -         elever       607       39.5 (1.3)       17/590       1.8 [0.2, 13.9]         offsting products:       -       -       0/5       -         elever       607       39.5 (1.3)       17/590       1.8 [0.2, 13.3]         ontertimes       91       39.5 (1.3)       6/241       1.6 [0.2, 13.3]     <	very day	399		10/389	1.3 [0.3, 6.1]
Alssing data 8 - 0/8 - 0/8 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	several times a day	405		12/393	1.6 [0.4, 7.1]
Reat:         Rest (lever or almost never)         22         39.5 (2.0)         1/21         NA           lot every day         338         39.5 (1.2)         4/334         Reference           very day         458         39.4 (1.4)         16/442         3.0 [1.0, 9.1]           deveral times a day         88         39.5 (1.6)         3/85         3.0 [0.7, 13.4]           dissing         87         -         0/87         -           deternal dietary exposure (organic food intake)         ************************************	·				
lever or almost never					
stot every day       338       39.5 (1.2)       4/334       Reference         every day       458       39.4 (1.4)       16/442       3.0 [1.0, 9.1]         everal times a day       88       39.5 (1.6)       3/85       3.0 [0.7, 13.4]         Alaternal dietary exposure (organic food intake)         Truits and vegetables:         Lever       481       39.4 (1.4)       13/468       0.6 [0.2, 1.9]         ofference       481       39.6 (1.2)       7/340       0.5 [0.1, 1.6]         ofference       91       39.3 (1.5)       4/87       Reference         ofference       607       39.5 (1.3)       17/590       1.8 [0.2, 13.9]         ofference       247       39.5 (1.3)       17/590       1.8 [0.2, 13.3]         ofference       64       39.5 (1.3)       1/63       Reference         dissing data       6       -       0/6         deat:       10/6       10/6       10/6         lever       773       39.5 (1.3)       21/752       Reference		22	39.5 (2.0)	1/21	NA
every day     458     39.4 (1.4)     16.442     3.0 [1.0, 9.1]       everal times a day     88     39.5 (1.6)     3/85     3.0 [0.7, 13.4]       dissing     87     -     0/87     -       Alaternal dietary exposure (organic food intake)       Tuits and vegetables:       lever     481     39.4 (1.4)     13/468     0.6 [0.2, 1.9]       ometimes     347     39.6 (1.2)     7/340     0.5 [0.1, 1.6]       Most of the time     91     39.3 (1.5)     4/87     Reference       Alating products:       lever     607     39.5 (1.3)     17/590     1.8 [0.2, 13.9]       ometimes     64     39.5 (1.3)     1/63     Reference       Alost of the time     64     39.5 (1.3)     1/63     Reference       Alost of the time     64     39.5 (1.3)     1/63     Reference       Alost of the time     6     -     0/6       Alost of the time     6     -     0/6       Alost of the time     6     -     0/6       Alest:     10.6 [0.2, 13.3]     17.52     Reference					
everal times a day       88       39.5 (1.6)       3/85       3.0 [0.7, 13.4]         Alaternal dietary exposure (organic food intake)       87       -       0/87       -         Alaternal dietary exposure (organic food intake)       87       88       39.5 (1.6)       3/85       3.0 [0.7, 13.4]         Alaternal dietary exposure (organic food intake)       88       99.87       88       39.4 (1.4)       13/468       0.6 [0.2, 1.9]         Bever       481       39.4 (1.4)       13/468       0.6 [0.2, 1.9]       0.5 [0.1, 1.6]	· ·				
Aissing     87     -     0/87     -       Acternal dietary exposure (organic food intake)       Pruits and vegetables:       Lever     481     39.4 (1.4)     13/468     0.6 [0.2, 1.9]       Most of the time     347     39.6 (1.2)     7/340     0.5 [0.1, 1.6]       Most of the time     91     39.3 (1.5)     4/87     Reference       Aissing data     5     -     0/5     -       Dairy products:       Lever     607     39.5 (1.3)     17/590     1.8 [0.2, 13.9]       Most of the time     64     39.5 (1.3)     1/63     Reference       Missing data     6     -     0/6       Meat:       Lever     773     39.5 (1.3)     21/752     Reference					
Maternal dietary exposure (organic food intake)         Pruits and vegetables:       481       39.4 (1.4)       13/468       0.6 [0.2, 1.9]         Idever       481       39.6 (1.2)       7/340       0.5 [0.1, 1.6]         Most of the time       91       39.3 (1.5)       4/87       Reference         Missing data       5       -       0/5       -         Diverse       607       39.5 (1.3)       17/590       1.8 [0.2, 13.9]         Most of the time       64       39.5 (1.3)       6/241       1.6 [0.2, 13.3]         Most of the time       64       39.5 (1.3)       1/63       Reference         Most of the time       6       -       0/6         Meat:       773       39.5 (1.3)       21/752       Reference	·				
ruits and vegetables:  lever 481 39.4 (1.4) 13/468 0.6 [0.2, 1.9] cometimes 347 39.6 (1.2) 7/340 0.5 [0.1, 1.6] Most of the time 91 39.3 (1.5) 4/87 Reference  Alssing data 5 - 0/5 - 0/5 -  Dairy products:  lever 607 39.5 (1.3) 17/590 1.8 [0.2, 13.9] cometimes 49.5 (1.3) 6/241 1.6 [0.2, 13.3] Most of the time 64 39.5 (1.3) 1/63 Reference  Alssing data 6 - 0/6  Meat:  Lever 773 39.5 (1.3) 21/752 Reference		0,		0,0,	
lever 481 39.4 (1.4) 13/468 0.6 [0.2, 1.9] ometimes 347 39.6 (1.2) 7/340 0.5 [0.1, 1.6] Most of the time 91 39.3 (1.5) 4/87 Reference Missing data 5 - 0/5 - Dairy products:  Lever 607 39.5 (1.3) 17/590 1.8 [0.2, 13.9] ometimes 408 39.5 (1.3) 17/590 1.8 [0.2, 13.9] ometimes 64 39.5 (1.3) 1/63 Reference Missing data 66 - 0/6 Meat: Lever 773 39.5 (1.3) 21/752 Reference					
ometimes       347       39.6 (1.2)       7/340       0.5 [0.1, 1.6]         Most of the time       91       39.3 (1.5)       4/87       Reference         Aissing data       5       -       0/5       -         Dairy products:       5       -       0/5       -         Lever       607       39.5 (1.3)       17/590       1.8 [0.2, 13.9]         Most of the time       64       39.5 (1.3)       6/241       1.6 [0.2, 13.3]         Most of the time       64       39.5 (1.3)       1/63       Reference         Aissing data       6       -       0/6         Meat:       773       39.5 (1.3)       21/752       Reference	-	481	39.4 (1.4)	13/468	0.6 [0.2, 1.9]
Most of the time       91       39.3 (1.5)       4/87       Reference         Aissing data       5       -       0/5       -         Dairy products:       5       -       17/590       1.8 [0.2, 13.9]         Idever       607       39.5 (1.3)       6/241       1.6 [0.2, 13.3]         Most of the time       64       39.5 (1.3)       1/63       Reference         Aissing data       6       -       0/6         Meat:       773       39.5 (1.3)       21/752       Reference					
Aissing data     5     -     0/5     -       Dairy products:       lever     607     39.5 (1.3)     17/590     1.8 [0.2, 13.9]       cometimes     247     39.5 (1.3)     6/241     1.6 [0.2, 13.3]       Alost of the time     64     39.5 (1.3)     1/63     Reference       Alissing data     6     -     0/6       Aleat:       Elever     773     39.5 (1.3)     21/752     Reference					
Desiry products:       Bever     607     39.5 (1.3)     17/590     1.8 [0.2, 13.9]       cometimes     247     39.5 (1.3)     6/241     1.6 [0.2, 13.3]       Most of the time     64     39.5 (1.3)     1/63     Reference       Alissing data     6     -     0/6       Meat:       Elever     773     39.5 (1.3)     21/752     Reference			55.5 (1.5)		-
lever 607 39.5 (1.3) 17/590 1.8 [0.2, 13.9] ometimes 247 39.5 (1.3) 6/241 1.6 [0.2, 13.3] Most of the time 64 39.5 (1.3) 1/63 Reference Aissing data 6 - 0/6 Meat:  Lever 773 39.5 (1.3) 21/752 Reference		<b>.</b>		0,3	
ometimes       247       39.5 (1.3)       6/241       1.6 [0.2, 13.3]         Most of the time       64       39.5 (1.3)       1/63       Reference         Alissing data       6       -       0/6         Meat:         Lever       773       39.5 (1.3)       21/752       Reference		607	39 5 (1 3)	17/590	18[02 139]
Most of the time     64     39.5 (1.3)     1/63     Reference       Alissing data     6     -     0/6       Aleat:     773     39.5 (1.3)     21/752     Reference					
Aissing data     6     -     0/6       Meat:     773     39.5 (1.3)     21/752     Reference					
Meat:    Alexarcal					neieieile
lever 773 39.5 (1.3) 21/752 Reference	-	0	-	0/0	
		773	20.5 (1.2)	21/752	Poforonco
ometimes 124 39.5 (1.3) 2/122 NA					
	Most of the time				
Missing data 78 - 0/78 -	Missing data	78	-	0/78	-

NA: an odds ratio was not available because too few cases were observed (n < 3).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0099090.t006

<sup>\*</sup>p = 0.05; \*\*p = 0.01; \*\*\*p = 0.001.

**Table 7.** Multivariate associations between (i) variables related to *in utero* exposure to pesticides, (ii) birth weight (BW, in g) and low birth weight (LBW).

Variables	BW (g	<b>j</b> )	LBW		
	n	Mean (SD)	Cases/controls	OR [95% CI]	
Total sample	932		42/786		
Occupational exposure					
Mother:					
Yes	19	3177 (521)	3/14	4.2 [1.2, 15.4]**	
No	913	3343 (491)	39/772	Reference	
Father:					
′es	83	3213 (517)	1/96	NA	
No	849	3353 (488)	41/690	Reference	
Domestic exposure					
Humans antiparasitic:					
′es	309	3397 (477)*	11/241	0.8 [0.4, 1.6]	
No	623	3312 (497)	31/545	Reference	
Veterinary antiparasitic:					
⁄es	206	3286 (520)*	10/177	1.1 [0.5, 2.3]	
No	724	3358 (482)	31/608	Reference	
Missing data	2	-	1/1	-	
Pesticides against insects:					
es es	120	3373 (472)	4/95	0.8 [0.3, 2.2]	
No	796	3338 (493)	37/680	Reference	
Missing data	16	-	1/11	-	
Pesticides for indoor plants:					
Yes	33	3186 (464)**	2/26	NA	
No	899	3346 (492)	40/760	Reference	
Pesticides for outdoor plants:					
Yes .	156	3369 (479)	2/136	NA	
No	762	3337 (492)	40/638	Reference	
Missing data	14	-	0/12	-	
Environmental exposure					
Proximity to a crop field:					
⁄es	490	3328 (491)	25/411	1.2 [0.6, 2.4]	
No	359	3352 (486)	15/304	Reference	
Missing data	83	-	2/71	-	
Proximity to a green area:					
es es	663	3365 (498)	26/564	0.6 [0.3, 1.1]	
lo	250	3275 (470)	16/204	Reference	
Aissing data	19	-	0/18	-	
Proximity to a highway, railway or airport:					
es es	344	3380 (523)	15/259	0.9 [0.5, 1.8]	
No	573	3317 (470)	27/484	Reference	
Missing data	15	-	0/13	-	

problems), learning disabilities and hyperactivity) and diseases of adulthood (hypertension, diabetes and hyperlipidemia) [20,21]. Unfortunately, these factors are only seldom analyzed in the literature.

The inconsistency of the literature results may be explained (at least in part) by the fact that studies evaluating the association between pesticide exposure and fetal growth have used several

different definitions of low birth weight. In fact, studies considering the proportion of small-for-gestational-age infants generally consider the 10<sup>th</sup> percentile and include only the gestational age (plus, in some cases, the baby's gender) as an adjustment parameter. In contrast, our study low birth weight or length as being below the 5<sup>th</sup> percentile after adjustment for various maternal and neonatal characteristics (the mother's age, weight,

**Table 8.** Multivariate associations between (i) variables related to *in utero* exposure to pesticides, (ii) birth weight (BW, in g) and low birth weight (LBW) (continued).

Dietary exposure (Total food intake)				
Fruits and vegetables:				
Never or almost never	17	3152 (501)	2/13	Reference
Not every day	298	3316 (481)	16/250	0.4 [0.1, 2.0]
Every day	342	3329 (483)	11/289	0.3 [0.1, 1.3]
Several times a day	270	3388 (511)	13/230	0.4 [0.1, 1.8]
Missing data	5	-	0/4	-
Cereals:				
Never or almost never	4	3525 (527)	0/4	NA
Not every day	164	3360 (466)	7/141	Reference
Every day	495	3321 (489)	22/409	0.6 [0.3, 1.5]
Several times a day	259	3360 (508)	12/227	1.1 [0.4, 2.8]
Missing data	71	-	1/5	-
Dairy products:				
Never or almost never	8	3098 (431)	1/5	NA
Not every day	103	3251 (473)	8/86	Reference
Every day	408	3345 (475)	17/341	0.5 [0.1, 4.5]
Several times a day	405	3357 (510)	16/348	0.3 [0.0, 2.3]
Missing data	8		0/6	
Meat:				
Never or almost never	22	3275 (520)	1/20	NA
Not every day	340	3329 (465)	19/288	Reference
Every day	458	3332 (494)	19/388	0.7 [0.4, 1.4]
Several times a day	93	3393 (557)	3/78	0.6 [0.2, 2.0]
Missing data	80	-	0/12	-
Dietary exposure (organic food intake)				
Fruits and vegetables:				
Never	483	3304 (509)	23/399	1.6 [0.5, 5.4]
Sometimes	354	3399 (472)	16/300	1.5 [0.4, 5.2]
Most of the time	90	3283 (453)	3/83	Reference
Missing data	5	-	0/5	-
Dairy products:				
Never	617	3327 (505)	32/508	1.4 [0.7, 2.8]
Sometimes	245	3367 (469)	10/216	Reference
Most of the time	64	3346 (439)	0/4	NA
Missing data	6	-	0/6	-
Meat:				
Never	777	3329 (490)	39/657	Reference
Sometimes	126	3422 (492)	2/108	NA
Most of the time	20	3238 (518)	1/16	NA
Missing data	70	-	0/5	-

<sup>\*</sup>P<0.05;

BW: birth weight; LBW: Low birth weight; NA: an odds ratio was not available because too few cases were observed (n<3). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0099090.t008

and height, the rank of birth, the gestational age and the baby's gender), as recommended by the AUDIPOG study [15–16].

In the present study, we considered almost all the confounding factors known or suspected in the literature to have an effect on fetal development. However, some of these factors (such as the consumption of alcohol and/or illicit drugs during pregnancy)

could not be analyzed further because of the low number of affirmative replies. For ethical reasons, we chose not to probe a number of other factors (such as the parent's financial situation and ethnic origin); this might constitute a source of study bias. Moreover, the fact that pesticide levels were not measured in this study might constitute another source of bias; however, data

<sup>\*\*</sup>P<0.01.

**Table 9.** Multivariate associations between (i) variables related to *in utero* exposure to pesticides, (ii) birth length (BL, in cm) and low birth length).

/ariable	BL (cr	n)	LBL	
	n	Mean (SD)	Cases/controls	OR [95% CI]
otal sample	922		56/764	
Occupational exposure				
Mother:				
'es	19	49.5 (1.9)	2/15	NA
No	903	49.5 (2.1)	54/749	Reference
ather:				
'es	79	49.3 (2.0)	1/67	NA
No	843	49.5 (2.1)	55/697	Reference
Domestic exposure				
lumans antiparasitics:				
'es	309	49.8 (2.0)	19/252	1.0 [0.6, 1.9]
No	613	49.3 (2.1)	37/512	Reference
/eterinary antiparasitics:				
/es	201	49.3 (2.1)	9/173	0.7 [0.3, 1.4]
No	719	49.5 (2.1)	46/590	Reference
Missing data	2	-	1/1	-
Pesticides against insects:				
/es	115	49.5 (1.9)	8/88	1.3 [0.6, 2.9]
No	793	49.5 (2.1)	46/666	Reference
Aissing data	14	-	2/10	-
Pesticides for indoor plants:				
'es	35	49.2 (2.0)	4/26	2.2 [0.7, 6.5]
lo	887	49.5 (2.1)	52/738	Reference
esticides for outdoor plants:				
'es	157	49.7 (2.2)	9/130	0.9 [0.4, 1.9]
No	752	49.4 (2.1)	47/623	Reference
Missing data	13	-	0/11	-
Environmental exposure to pesticides				
Proximity to a crop field (<1 km):				
/es	491	49.6 (2.1)	32/404	1.0 [0.6, 1.8]
No	350	49.3 (2.0)	22/289	Reference
Missing data	81	-	2/71	-
Proximity to a green area:				
/es	655	49.6 (2.2)	42/541	1.1 [0.6, 2.1]
No	249	49.2 (1.9)	14/206	Reference
Missing data	18	-	0/17	-
Proximity to a highway, railway or airport:				
es	339	49.6 (2.1)	23/276	1.2 [0.7, 2.9]
lo	570	49.4 (2.1)	33/477	Reference
Missing data	13		0/11	-
evel of urbanization:				
Rural	726	49.5 (2.1)	34/606	Reference
Jrban	119	49.1 (2.0)	15/93	2.9 [1.5, 5.5]*
Missing data	77	-	7/65	-

**Table 10.** Multivariate associations between (i) variables related to *in utero* exposure to pesticides, (ii) birth length (BL, in cm) and low birth length) (continued).

Dietary exposure (total food intake)				
Fruits and vegetables:				
Never or almost never	18	49.3 (2.2)	1/15	Reference
Not every day	301	49.4 (2.0)	19/253	1.1 [0.1, 9.0]
Every day	329	49.4 (2.2)	19/268	1.1 [0.1, 8.5]
Several times a day	269	49.7 (2.1)	17/224	1.1 [0.1, 9.2]
Missing data	5	-	0/4	-
Cereals:				
Never or almost never	4	50.2 (1.7)	0/4	NA
Not every day	159	49.4 (2.1)	11/132	Reference
Every day	493	49.4 (2.1)	27/402	0.8 [0.4, 1.7]
Several times a day	256	49.6 (2.2)	18/218	1.0 [0.5, 2.2]
Missing data	81	-	0/8	-
Dairy products:				
Never or almost never	7	48.1 (1.2)	0/5	NA
Not every day	105	49.3 (2.1)	8/87	Reference
Every day	396	49.4 (2.0)	21/328	0.7 [0.3, 1.6]
Several times a day	407	49.6 (2.2)	27/339	0.9 [0.4, 2.0]
Missing data	7	-	0/5	-
Meat:				
Never or almost never	22	49.3 (2.2)	0/20	NA
Not every day	338	49.4 (2.1)	25/279	Reference
Every day	457	49.5 (2.1)	24/382	0.7 [0.4, 1.3]
Several times a day	87	49.6 (2.3)	6/71	0.9 [0.4, 2.4]
Missing data	89	-	1/12	-
Dietary exposure (organic food intake)				
Fruits and vegetables:				
Never	484	49.3 (2.2)	36/388	1.5 [0.6, 4.0]
Sometimes	345	49.7 (1.9)	15/330	0.6 [0.3, 2.1]
Most of the time	88	49.4 (2.1)	5/83	Reference
Missing data	5	-	0/4	-
Dairy products:				
Never	611	49.4 (2.1)	39/498	1.1 [0.6, 2.0]
Sometimes	242	49.6 (2.0)	15/207	2.0 [0.4, 9.0]
Most of the time	62	49.8 (2.0)	2/55	Reference
Missing data	3	-	0/4	-
Meat:				
Never	769	49.4 (2.1)	47/642	Reference
Sometimes	126	49.6 (1.9)	7/102	0.9 [0.4, 2.1]
Most of the time	18	49.0 (2.1)	1/14	NA
Missing data	80	-	1/6	-

<sup>\*</sup>P<0.05;

BL: birth length, LBL: low birth length. NA: an odds ratio was not available because too few cases were observed (n<3). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0099090.t010

obtained from maternal questionnaires were used as a proxy for pesticide exposure.

# 4.1 Occupational exposure to pesticides

Our results revealed that 4.3% of the mothers and 8.7% of the fathers had an occupation that potentially involved pesticide

exposure. We found that 1.5% of the mothers and 4.2% of the fathers worked in agriculture (i.e. 2.9% of all parents). The literature studies differ in terms of their locations, study populations, exposure assessment methods and fetal parameters - making it difficult to compare the respective results. Our results highlighted an association between self-reported maternal occu-

<sup>\*\*</sup>P<0.01.

**Table 11.** Multivariate associations between (i) variables related to *in utero* exposure to pesticides, (ii) head circumference at birth (HCB, in cm) and (iii) small head circumference at birth.

Variable	HCB (cı	m)	SHCB		
	N	Mean (SD)	Cases/controls	OR [95% CI]	
Fotal sample	911		34/822		
Occupational exposure					
Mother:					
Yes	21	34.5 (1.7)	2/36	NA	
No	890	34.4 (1.4)	32/786	Reference	
Father:					
Yes .	79	34.2 (1.6)	4/70	1.3 [0.5, 4.2]	
No	832	34.4 (1.4)	30/752	Reference	
Domestic exposure					
Human antiparasitics:					
Yes	305	34.5 (1.4)	9/276	0.7 [0.3, 1.5]	
No	606	34.3 (1.4)	25/546	Reference	
/eterinary antiparasitics:					
/es	193	34.3 (1.5)	8/175	1.1 [0.5, 2.6]	
No	717	34.4 (1.4)	26/646	Reference	
Missing data	1	-	0/1	-	
Pesticides against insects:					
/es	115	34.4 (1.3)	7/99	1.9 [0.8, 4.4]	
Non	781	34.4 (1.4)	27/709	Reference	
Missing data	15	-	0/14	-	
Pesticides for indoor plants:					
'es	33	34.2 (1.4)	2/29	NA	
No	878	34.4 (1.4)	32/793	Reference	
Pesticides for outdoor plants:					
⁄es	149	34.6 (1.4)	8/135	1.5 [0.7, 3.5]	
No	748	34.4 (1.4)	26/674	Reference	
Missing data	14	-	0/13	-	
Environmental exposure					
Proximity to a crop field:					
/es	487	34.4 (1.4)	20/437	1.3 [0.6, 2.8]	
No	345	34.4 (1.4)	11/314	Reference	
Missing data	79	-	3/71	-	
Proximity to a green area:					
es es	644	34.5 (1.4)	21/586	0.7 [0.3, 1.3]	
No	249	34.2 (1.4)	12/219	Reference	
Missing data	18	-	1/17		
Proximity to a highway, railway or airport:					
Yes	332	34.4 (1.4)	12/301	0.9 [0.5, 1.9]	
No	565	34.5 (1.4)	22/508	Reference	
Missing data	14	_	0/13	-	

pational exposure to pesticides during pregnancy and the risk of low birth weight. We also found an association between estimated paternal occupational exposure to pesticides on one hand and low gestational age and the risk of the prematurity on the other. However, it is important to note that our cohort was recruited from the general population, in which only some of the parents were occupationally exposed to pesticides. This situation contrasts

with literature studies of specifically exposed cohorts of farmers or other agricultural workers. The retrospective study of the general population performed in the USA by Savitz et al. [3] found an association between small-for-gestational-age status and self-reported occupational parental exposure to pesticides. In Colombia, the study by Restrepo et al. [5] revealed a moderately strong relationship between an increased risk of prematurity and reported

**Table 12.** Multivariate associations between (i) variables related to *in utero* exposure to pesticides, (ii) head circumference at birth (HCB, in cm) and (iii) small head circumference at birth (continued).

Place of residence during pregnancy:				
Rural locality	722	34.4 (1.4)	24/649	Reference
Urban locality	114	34.4 (1.5)	9/102	2.4 [1.1, 5.3]
Missing data	75	-	1/71	-
Dietary exposure (total food intake)				
Fruits and vegetables:				]
Never or almost never	18	34.0 (1.3)	0/16	NA
Not every day	292	34.4 (1.4)	16/263	Reference
Every day	334	34.4 (1.4)	11/297	0.6 [0.3, 1.3]
Several times a day	262	34.5 (1.5)	7/241	0.5 [0.2, 1.2
Missing data	5	-	0/5	-
Cereals:				
Never or almost never	4	34.7 (2.2)	0/4	NA
Not every day	162	34.4 (1.4)	5/148	Reference
Every day	488	34.4 (1.4)	24/428	2.6 [1.0, 6.9]
Several times a day	247	34.5 (1.4)	5/232	0.6 [0.2, 2.3]
Missing data	92	-	0/10	-
Dairy products:				
Never or almost never	7	33.1 (1.1)	2/4	Reference
Not every day	104	34.3 (1.3)	5/94	0.1 [0.0, 0.8]
Every day	395	34.4 (1.4)	14/356	0.1 [0.0, 0.5]
Several times a day	397	34.4 (1.5)	13/360	0.1 [0.0, 0.4]
Missing data	8	-	0/8	-
Meat:				
Never or almost never	22	34.0 (1.4)	1/20	NA
Not every day	336	34.5 (1.4)	11/302	Reference
Every day	449	34.4 (1.4)	16/409	1.1 [0.5, 2.3]
Several times a day	85	34.4 (1.6)	6/73	2.3 [0.8, 6.3]
Missing	101	-	0/18	-
Dietary exposure (organic food intake)				
Fruits and vegetables:				
Never	481	34.2 (1.4)	25/425	2.6 [1.1, 6.1]
Sometimes	340	34.6 (1.4)	7/312	Reference
Most of the time	85	34.4 (1.3)	2/80	NA
Missing data	5	-	0/5	-
Dairy products:				
Never	612	34.3 (1.4)	25/549	1.3 [0.3, 5.6]
Sometimes	231	34.6 (1.5)	7/210	1.0 [0.2, 4.7]
Most of the time	62	34.5 (1.3)	2/57	Reference
Missing data	2	-	0/6	-
Meat:				
Never	762	34.4 (1.4)	31/688	Reference
Sometimes	123	34.7 (1.5)	3/110	0.6 [0.2, 2.0]
Most of the time	17	34.1 (1.2)	0/15	NA

HCB: head circumference at birth. SHCB: small head circumference at birth. NA: an odds ratio was not available because too few cases were observed (n<3). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0099090.t012

occupational exposure to pesticides among female workers and the wives of male workers in floriculture. In contrast, the study in agricultural areas in Norway by Kristensen et al. [4] found that the

rates of prematurity and small-for-gestational-age status were lower for farmers than for non-farmers. In the latter study, pesticide exposure was assessed by means of national census data on indicators such as farm size, the number and types of livestock, and so on

Despite some small discrepancies between the above-mentioned results, there appears to be a general consensus in which maternal and/or paternal occupational exposure to pesticides is associated with adverse effects on fetal development and adverse birth outcomes

#### 4.2 Domestic exposure to pesticides

Our study also considered domestic pesticides but did not focus on any one substance or group of substances in particular (in contrast to several literature studies). We found that self-reported maternal exposure to pesticides for houseplants was correlated with lower birth weight. In contrast, we did not find any association between maternal exposure to pesticides for outdoor plants and poor fetal growth. Our findings are consistent with Petit et al.'s [14] results on insecticide use on indoor plants in the Brittany region of western France but not with their data on use on garden plants. In fact, Petit et al.'s retrospective study found that higher self-reported *in utero* exposure to household insecticides for use on plants (and especially outdoor plants) was related to lower birth weight and head circumference [14].

We did not find any association between fetal growth and maternal exposure to domestic insecticides. Our findings are consistent with those of Petit et al. [14] regarding the association between residential use of insect control on one hand and birth weight and head circumference at birth on the other hand. Similarly, our results are in line with the reports by Berkowitz et al. [8] and Whyatt et al. [9] in the USA, which did not find any association between self-reported use of domestic pesticides, prenatal personal ambient air or umbilical cord blood levels of some domestic insecticides on one hand and birth weight, birth length or head circumference at birth on the other. The questionnaire used in these two studies of the same cohort probed domestic use of pesticides against three groups of pests only (cockroaches, rodents and others). In the retrospective study performed by Savitz et al. [3] in the USA, self-reported exposure to household pesticides was associated with the risk of small-forgestational-age status but not with prematurity. The questionnaire used in Savitz et al.'s study [1] probed exposure very generally via a single question on whether or not the mother and/or the father had been exposed to household pesticides.

In the present study, we found that maternal exposure to veterinary antiparasitics was associated with a lower birth weight. To the best of our knowledge, our study is the first to have assessed the relationship between maternal exposure to veterinary antiparasitics and fetal growth. In contrast, we did not find any association between fetal growth and maternal exposure to human antiparasitics.

#### 4.3 Environmental exposure to pesticides

In our questionnaire, environmental exposure was assessed as self-reported proximity (within 1 km) of the mother's home during

# References

- Bedos C, Cellier P, Calvet R, Barriuso E, Gabrielle B (2002) Mass transfer of pesticides into the atmosphere by volatilization from soils and plants: overview. Agronomie 22 21–33
- Whyatt RM, Barr DB, Camann DE, Kinney PL, Barr JR, et al. (2003) Contemporary-use pesticides in personal air samples during pregnancy and blood samples at delivery among urban minority mothers and newborns. Environ Health Perspect 111(5):749–56.
- Savitz DA, Whelan EA, Kleckner RC (1989) Self-reported exposure to pesticides and radiation related to pregnancy outcome-results from National Natality and Fetal Mortality Surveys. Public Health Rep 104(5): 473

  –477.

pregnancy to areas in which pesticides are usually widely applied (crop fields, green areas and transportation networks (highways, railroads and airports)). We did not observed any association between fetal growth and this proximity, in agreement with the results of the study by Petit et al. [14] in France; the latter researchers did not find any association between agricultural activities in the mother's place of residence during early pregnancy (based on data on agricultural activities from the national census) and birth weight (as a continuous variable) or the risk of low birth weight. In contrast, Xiang et al.'s [6] study in the USA (based on remote sensing and a geographic information system (GIS)) found an association between low birth weight and total crop production area within a 300 m buffer zone around the mother's residence. However, Xiang et al. [6] did not examine fetal growth parameters other than low birth weight. However, it is possible that the mothers in the present MecoExpo study (especially those living on the outskirts of cities) may have over-estimated the distance between their residence and crop fields.

#### 4.4 Dietary exposure to pesticides

We did not find a significant association between fetal growth on one hand and overall or organic food intake on the other. Our questionnaire asked the mother about her eating behavior during a period (pregnancy) in which the diet is very likely to change. We cannot rule out the presence of recall bias, since the questionnaire was completed after delivery.

In conclusion, our present results demonstrate that both maternal and paternal occupational exposure to pesticides during pregnancy is associated with low gestational age and a greater risk of prematurity and low birth weight. Maternal domestic exposure to pesticides for houseplants and to veterinary antiparasitics was associated with low birth weight. Our questionnaire served as a wide-ranging tool for characterizing all the possible sources of prenatal exposure to pesticides. In the future, we could better characterize the exposure pathways and the nature of the compounds involved by complementing our questionnaire-based data with data generated by tools such as GISs and environmental or biological assays of pesticide residues.

#### **Acknowledgments**

We thank the parents who participated in this study, the staff at the maternity clinics and the staff from Picardy's perinatal networks. We also wish to thank the *Biobanque de Picardie* for technical assistance. Lastly, we thank Dr. David Fraser for advice on the manuscript's English.

This work was funded by the Picardy Regional Council and the Grenelle Environment. F. Mayhoub was funded by Tishreen University (Latakia, Syria) and T. Berton was funded by the European Regional Development

#### **Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: FM VB KT AFB SD ESB KC. Performed the experiments: FM TB CD KC. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: TB KT AFB. Wrote the paper: FM VB ESB KC.

- Kristensen P, Irgens LM, Andersen A, Bye AS, Sundheim L (1997) Gestational age, birth weight, and perinatal death among births to Norwegian farmers, 1967–1991. Am J of Epidemiol 146(4): 329–338.
- Restrepo M, Munoz N, Day NE, Parra JE, de Romero L, et al. (1990) Prevalence of adverse reproductive outcomes in a population occupationally exposed to pesticides in Colombia. Scand J Work Environ Health 16(4): 232– 238.
- Xiang H, Nuckols JR, Stallones L (2000) A geographic information assessment of birth weight and crop production patterns around mother's residence. Environ Res 82(2): 160–167.

- Eskenazi B, Harley K, Bradman A, Weltzien E, Jewell NP, et al. (2004) Association of in utero organophosphate pesticide exposure and fetal growth and length of gestation in an agricultural population. Environ Health Perspect 112(10): 1116–1124.
- Berkowitz GS, Wetmur JG, Birman-Deych E, Obel J, Lapinski RH, et al. (2004)
   In utero pesticide exposure, maternal paraoxonase activity, and head circumference. Environ Health Perspect 112(3): 388–391.
- Whyatt RM, Camann D, Perera FP, Rauh VA, Tang D, et al. (2005) Biomarkers in assessing residential insecticide exposures during pregnancy and effects on fetal growth. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 206(2): 246–254.
- Sathyanarayana S, Basso O, Karr CJ, Lozano P, Alavanja M, et al. (2010)
   Maternal pesticide use and birth weight in the agricultural health study.
   J Agromedicine 15(2): 127–136.
- Petit C, Chevrier C, Durand G, Monfort C, Rouget F, et al. (2010) Impact on fetal growth of prenatal exposure to pesticides due to agricultural activities: a prospective cohort study in Brittany, France. Environ Health 9: 71.
- Brucker-Davis F, Wagner-Mahler K, Bornebusch L, Delattre I, Ferrari P, et al. (2010) Exposure to selected endocrine disruptors and neonatal outcome of 86 healthy boys from Nice area (France). Chemosphere 81(2): 169–176.
- Wohlfahrt-Veje C, Main KM, Schmidt IM, Boas M, Jensen TK, et al. (2011)
   Lower birth weight and increased body fat at school age in children prenatally exposed to modern pesticides: a prospective study. Environ Health 10: 79.

- Petit C, Blangiardo M, Richardson S, Coquet F, Chevrier C, et al. (2012) Association of environmental insecticide exposure and fetal growth with a Bayesian model including multiple exposure sources: the PELAGIE motherchild cohort. Am J Epidemiol 175(11): 1182–1190.
- Association des Utilisateurs de Dossiers Informatisés en Pédiatrie, Obstétrique et Gynécologie. Étude sur la croissance fœtale et infantile. www.audipog.net.
- Mamelle N, Boniol M, Rivière O, Joly MO, Mellier G, et al. (2006) Identification of newborns with Fetal Growth Restriction (FGR) in weight and/or length based on constitutional growth potential. Eur J Pediatr 165: 717– 725.
- McCormick MC (1985) The contribution of low birth weight to infant mortality and childhood morbidity. N Engl J Med 312(2): 82–90.
- Kramer MS, Olivier M, McLean FH, Willis DM, Usher RH (1990) Impact of intrauterine growth retardation and body proportionality on fetal and neonatal outcome. Pediatrics 86(5): 707–713.
- McIntire DD, Bloom SL, Casey BM, Leveno KJ (1999) Birth weight in relation to morbidity and mortality among newborn infants. N Engl J Med 340(16): 1234–1238.
- Yanney M, Marlow N (2004) Paediatric consequences of fetal growth restriction. Semin Fetal Neonatal Med 9(5): 411–418.
- 21. Strauss RS, Dietz WH (1997) Effects of intrauterine growth retardation in premature infants on early childhood growth. J Pediat 130(1): 95–102.