

Integration of the predictions of two models with dose measurements in a case study of children exposed to the emissions from a lead smelter

Roseline Bonnard, Thomas E. Mckone

▶ To cite this version:

Roseline Bonnard, Thomas E. Mckone. Integration of the predictions of two models with dose measurements in a case study of children exposed to the emissions from a lead smelter. Human and Ecological Risk Assessment, 2009, 15 (6), pp.1203-1226. ineris-00961945

HAL Id: ineris-00961945 https://ineris.hal.science/ineris-00961945

Submitted on 4 Apr 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Roseline Bonnard (a) and Thomas E. McKone (b)

a- INERIS, Verneuil-en-Halatte, France ; b- University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA

1 Integration of the predictions of two models with dose measurements in a

2 case study of children exposed to the emissions of a lead smelter

3 Abstract

4 The predictions of two source-to-dose models are systematically evaluated with observed 5 data collected in a village polluted by a currently operating secondary lead smelter. Both 6 models were built up from several sub-models linked together and run using Monte-Carlo 7 simulation, to calculate the distribution children's blood lead levels attributable to the 8 emissions from the facility. The first model system is composed of the CalTOX model linked 9 to a recoded version of the IEUBK model. This system provides the distribution of the 10 media-specific lead concentrations (air, soil, fruit, vegetables and blood) in the whole area investigated. The second model consists of a statistical model to estimate the lead deposition 11 12 on the ground, a modified version of the model HHRAP and the same recoded version of the 13 IEUBK model. This system provides an estimate of the concentration of exposure of specific 14 individuals living in the study area. The predictions of the first model system were improved 15 in terms of accuracy and precision by performing a sensitivity analysis and using field data to 16 correct the default value provided for the leaf wet density. However, in this case study, the 17 first model system tends to overestimate the exposure due to exposed vegetables. The second model was tested for nine children with contrasting exposure conditions. It managed to 18 capture the blood levels for eight of them. In the last case, the exposure of the child by 19 20 pathways not considered in the model may explain the failure of the model. The interest of 21 this integrated model is to provide outputs with lower variance than the first model system, 22 but at the moment further tests are necessary to conclude about its accuracy.

23

Keywords : Exposure assessment, multimedia models, probabilistic risk assessment, lead,
IEUBK.

27 INTRODUCTION

28 Exposure models for chemicals are used around the world to assess the human health risks 29 and to support regulatory decision making. For example, they are used to support policies for 30 pollution control (Bonnard 2006) such as the Hot Spots Program of California Environmental 31 Protection Agency (OEHHA 2003), in which the risks linked to atmospheric emissions from 32 existing facilities are estimated. They are also used in France for Registered Installations for Protection of the Environment (INERIS 2003), which requires a human health risk 33 34 assessment study to be performed and included in all the environmental impact analyses for 35 new or modified facilities. Exposure models are also employed to estimate the risks linked to 36 manufactured substances, as required by the European regulation for new and existing 37 substances (EC 2003, 2004). Many countries define safety limits with models for various 38 exposure media such as food, water and air (WHO 1993, 2000 and national regulations), as 39 well for soils, for which human health risk assessment is used to identify, prioritise and assess 40 the need for remedial actions (US EPA, Ferguson 1999).

Because of the critical role played by models, scientists are required to show that their predictions are reliable. Even though a "model can never be truly validated, (but only invalidated)"(Oreskes 1998, NCR 2007), it is still necessary to evaluate its fitness for use. Part of this process is checking if models yield results matching the observations from field studies, and for the right reasons (that is by providing the correct cause-effect relationships between input and output) and capture this link with a sufficient level of precision.

In the context of industrial facilities and contaminated soils, health risk assessment studies employ multimedia exposure models to predict contaminations at a local scale and sometimes employ physiologically based pharmacokinetic (PBPK) models, when the exposure levels need to be expressed in terms of internal doses, as for lead, whose risk management threshold value is usually fixed at 100 µg/l in blood for children.

A number of different multimedia models have undergone empirical evaluation in the last decade, but these exercises were carried out at regional or continental scales (Schwartz 2000) and most of the time, they were limited to environmental concentrations (Kawamoto and Park 2006, Armitage et al. 2007, Luo and Yang 2007). In the case of the PBPK models, results have been evaluated with subjects in controlled conditions where there were either administrated doses or measured environmental concentrations (Cohen et al. 1998, Hogan et 58 al. 1998, Griffin et al. 1999, Biesiada et al. 1999, Glorennec et al. 2007a), but none of these 59 studies have tracked multimedia transport from a contamination source. Because of the 60 difficulties in collecting for the same period and the same location sufficient data for the 61 source emissions, environmental concentrations, activity patterns, biomonitoring data, and 62 reconstructing past exposures, predictions of multimedia models have seldom been compared 63 with biomonitoring data from the source of contamination. Such an analysis was conducted in 64 a case of contaminated soils by polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (Dor et al. 2003), where 65 urinary 1-hydroxypyren data collected from workers were compared to the levels predicted 66 by several multimedia models. That study showed discrepancies among the models tested, in 67 terms of magnitude of the output and of predominant exposure pathways. However, the 68 authors carried out comparisons using a deterministic approach with a point estimate obtained 69 from the different models. Because they did not know the precision of those point estimates, 70 they could not determine to what extent these point estimates were truly different or even 71 comparable.

72 The goal of this paper is to evaluate the performance (accuracy and precision) of two source-73 to-dose modeling approaches, for a contaminated site by a secondary-lead smelter. Lead 74 contamination was selected because lead is a contaminant often considered in health risk 75 assessments for industrial sites. At such sites, lead can be found in various exposure media, a 76 situation that requires consideration of multiple exposure pathways and provides the 77 opportunity to assess exposure levels both by modeling and measuring blood lead. The 78 comparison of lead measurements and lead modeling results can be carried out at several 79 levels: first in environmental media (such as air or soil), second in exposure media (such as 80 vegetables) and lastly in the human body. The intermediate points of comparison help to 81 determine at which level discrepancies may appear between models and observations and 82 whether the final results are correct for the right reasons. With this approach, one strives not 83 only for good agreement between models and observations (exposure model predictions 84 versus biomarker data) but uses the intermediate steps to confirm consistent hypotheses 85 regarding the magnitude and variation among competing exposure pathways.

86 METHODS

The lead smelter is located in a French village. The local authorities have ordered many investigations in this village and have implemented a control of the environment media concentrations for several years. The data available were collected in order to carry out the 90 model evaluations and several campaigns of measurements were performed to complete the91 dataset.

92 Two source-to-dose models, built-up from existing sub-models, were used for this study and 93 run simultaneously by Monte-Carlo sampling from the ranges of parameter input values.

94 The data related to the site and the models used are presented in the following subsections.

95 The data not shown (measurement data and input parameters of the models) are detailed in a

96 report available upon request (Bonnard 2008).

97 Study Village and Lead Emissions

98 The village has about 800 inhabitants. The facility producing lead began operations in 1970. 99 It recycles lead from engine-batteries. In relation to the facility, houses are located in a sector 100 between the north-north-east axis to the south-west axis, with the major portion of them been 101 located between the north-east and the south-east axes. The distance from the facility stacks 102 ranges from 100 meters to 1 km. Currently, this smelter is the only facility in the village 103 emitting lead in the atmosphere. From 2000 to the end of 2001, several measures were 104 implemented to reduce the contamination linked to its activity. These measures resulted in a 105 significant reduction of the atmospheric emissions of lead.

106 Child Biomonitoring Data and Exposure Survey

A biomonitoring campaigns for children's blood lead was carried out in this village in June 2002 by the local health administration. A questionnaire was filled out for each child at that sampling time to collect information on his or her exposure conditions. The questionnaire documented age, residence time in the village, places frequented, age of the home, proportion of fruit and vegetables consumed from the family garden, type of water (tap or bottled) consumed, and parents' place of work.

To protect the confidentiality of the children, we were not able to obtain the exact location of the house where each child dwelled. Only the distance to the facility was provided, with an indicator, going from 1 to 3, expressing the propensity of the wind blowing from the facility towards the child's house. In addition, a map was provided to us indicating three different sectors assigned a wind propensity value equal to 1, three others a value of 2, and two sectors a value of 3. Among all the children likely to be exposed, 67% took part into the study, namely 97 children. Among them, 19 had parents working in the facility. Because the local sanitary authorities have demonstrated a positive link between the children's blood lead and the parents working in the facility for this sample of data (Schmitt et al 2002) and since no multimedia exposure model is currently able to take into account such a relationship, we only kept the lead blood data from the 78 children whose parents did not work in the facility.

125 Environmental Media Measurements

126 Air concentrations

Daily air concentration data are collected from ATMO Champagne (2002), a public
association in charge of monitoring the air quality. An air sampler, measuring PM10, was
placed in the village at 120 meters from the facility stacks. Air concentrations were measured
by atomic absorption spectrometry (AFNOR, 1990).

131 Plant concentrations

A monitoring program has been conducted by a private company. Each year, it consists of the collection of about 20 samples of fruit or vegetables, from 6 or 7 volunteers' gardens, located between 80 to 600 meters from the facility. All the samples are prepared and washed before being analysed (Prost 2002-2005).

136 **Deposition to the ground surface**

A campaign was conducted to measure monthly lead deposition on the ground at 15 locations in the village, using deposit gauges (ISO 1989). These measurements were made in October and November 2005. One duplicate device was used to check the reproducibility and 7 of the samples collected were distributed on the North-East axis relating to the facility, which corresponds to the preferential wind direction. The results of this measurement campaign, as reported in Bonnard (2008), showed a clear decreasing level of deposition with the distance.

143 Soil concentrations

Several campaigns of soil lead concentration measurements have been performed in the village since 1998. However, because of the location and the depth of the samples, few data could be considered as representative of the population exposure. Thus, there were two 147 additional measurement campaigns in the summer of 2006. The first consisted of 48 surface 148 soil samples and 12 samples taken from the first 20 centimeters. All were composite samples 149 assembled by mixing five sub-samples from the same area. The samples were not distributed 150 according to a regular grid but taken in the village from locations close to dwellings and 151 selected according to accessibility. The surface samples were collected in open areas and in 152 the inhabitants' gardens, where the soil had not been disturbed. All of the the first-twenty-153 centimeter samples came from the inhabitants' kitchen gardens. The soils were digested by 154 aqua regia and analysed by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry 155 (ICP/OES) according to the European standard analytical method (ISO 1996, CEN 2000). 156 The second campaign consisted of in-situ measurements made with a portable X-ray 157 fluorescence device. Measurements were performed at 133 locations. In order to evaluate 158 correlation of results between the two methods, some of the fluorescence measurements were 159 made at the location where soil surface analytical samples were collected and 24 additional 160 measurements by X-ray fluorescence were performed on soil samples prepared at the 161 laboratory for extraction before ICP/OES analysis. During the program that monitored lead in vegetables cultivated by inhabitants, measurements of lead in the first twenty centimeters of 162 163 soil were performed. They were added to our database. A geostatistical study, with a set of 164 156 data corresponding to the lead concentration in the surface soil and with a set of 39 data 165 corresponding to the first-twenty-centimeter soil samples, was achieved.

166 Lead is characterized by a low mobility in soils and tends to accumulate in the surface layers 167 (Adriano 1986, Kabatia-Pendias 1992, Alloway 1995). However, even if the quantities of 168 lead collected in each gauge during our two-month-measurement campaign (while the stack 169 emissions were higher than the 2002 to 2006 average ones) had been deposited on the ground 170 for four years (between 2002 and 2006) and had not moved, that deposition of lead would 171 correspond to an increase of the concentration in the first two centimeter layer of less than 172 5%. Given this low accumulation rate, we assumed that the values measured in the soils in 173 2006 are representative of the concentrations in 2002. Subject to the condition that no other 174 source was added to the soils and the surface soil was not mixed with other soil layers, this 175 assumption seems reasonable.

176 Source to Dose Modeling

177 Source to dose modeling was carried out with two alternative integrated models. Both of 178 them link a multimedia fate model with a pharmacokinetic model. The first integrated model is process based and its purpose is to provide a regional mass balance to track lead from a source to receptor using generic parameters. The second model uses an empirical relationship to estimate the lead deposition on the ground and is intended to be both site and receptor specific.

183 Description of integrated model A

Model A consists of the CalTOX multimedia fate and multi-pathway exposure model (Mckone 1993, 2002) integrated with the exposure-uptake-biokinetic (IEUBK) model (US EPA 1994, White et al 1998). We set up this model system in EXCEL© files, and ran probabilistic assessments with the software Crystal Ball (Decisoneering 2005).

188 CalTOX is a fugacity-based mass balance model in which each environmental medium (air, 189 soil, water, etc) is represented by a homogeneous compartment. IEUBK is the model 190 recommended by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) to estimate 191 children's blood lead exposure. It permits calculation of the lead intake and uptake from the 192 exposure media concentrations and then determines the blood lead levels using a biokinetic 193 sub-model. To address the inability of IEUBK to propagate uncertainty and variability from 194 lead intake, Syracuse Research Corporation developed the Integrated Stochastic Exposure 195 (ISE) model (SRC, 2003) using the same conceptual model as IEUBK but allowing for 196 stochastic simulations. We did the same, by developing our own version of IEUBK using 197 EXCEL[®] and Visual Basic, so that we could link it directly to the stochastic outputs of 198 CalTOX. We checked that our implementation of IEUBK gives exactly the same results as 199 the original code. With this approach our blood lead prediction model could consider 200 temporal variation of lead intake and probabilistic distributions for some inputs defined as 201 point estimates in the ISE model.

We accounted for intake of lead through inhalation, soil ingestion, ingestion of local fruits and vegetables, and background exposure from non-local food consumption (Glorennec 204 2007b).

Based on atmospheric emissions and the distribution of lead concentrations measured in the first twenty centimeters of soil, model A was used with Crystal Ball to characterize the distributions of lead in the village air, in the surface soil, in the above-ground fruits and vegetables and the below-ground vegetables grown in the village, and finally in the blood of the children of the village.

210 Description of integrated model B

Model B has three components: (1) a regression model that calculates lead deposition according to the location of the household in the village, (2) a multimedia exposure model that calculates local lead concentrations in environmental and exposure media based on the deposition rate, the surface soil concentration and the soil concentration in the first twenty centimeters and (3) our version of IEUBK recoded in EXCEL©.

216 Model B was built to estimate lead exposures for precise locations, an approach often 217 required for contaminated sites to distinguish the areas requiring remediation from those 218 which do not. Unlike model A, which is aimed to capture the distribution of the 219 concentrations over the whole village, model B enables to represent the exposure of 220 individuals. Because the exact location of each child's house was not known, we had to 221 identify it basing on the concordance among three sources of information: (1) the child's 222 distance from the facility, (2) the sector where the house was located and (3) a bird's-eye 223 view zonal map of the village. In some cases, only one location of household corresponded to 224 information derived from these three sources, in other there were several possibilities. 225 Because of this difficulty, blood lead level was modeled for a subset of nine children for 226 which we had identified only one or two possibilities of housing location. If there were two 227 possible locations for one child's house, two predictions were made for that child's blood 228 lead based on a different soil concentration and a different lead deposition on the ground. The 229 children of this subset had contrasting exposure conditions (high, low or no consumption of 230 home-grown vegetables, close or far from the facility, low or high blood lead level) (Table 1).

231 We use the regression model in place of a classical gaussian air dispersion model because we 232 found the accuracy of these models, which predict deposition and air concentration, at each 233 point of a grid, to be inappropriate in regards of the estimation of fugitive emissions (see 234 section called Source term definition). Instead we fitted deposition data collected during the 235 sampling campaign (see section called Deposition to the ground surface) using a statistical 236 relationship, taking into account the distance (D_i in meters) of a specific location i from the 237 facility, the frequency (W_{ii} unitless) of the wind blowing from the facility towards a specific 238 location during month j and the magnitude of stack emissions (E_i in g/month). We used 239 Statistica (Statsoft 1999) for this analysis. We obtained the higher correlation coefficient and 240 the lower residual standard deviation with the following equation by ordinary least squares:

241
$$\hat{Y}_{ij} = \log dep_{ij} = 0.35 - 1.7 \times 10^{-3} D_i + 1.31 W_{ij} + 1.4 \times 10^{-5} E_j$$
 ($\rho^2 = 0.93$)

242 where dep_{ij} = total deposition of lead on the ground at location i during month j (in

 $243 mg/m^2/month$).

We used a Student's T-test to test if a coefficient of this relationship is significantly different from zero. Although the equation above is based on data collected for only two months, all coefficients were found to be statistically significant. In a good regression model, residuals (difference between the observed values and the predicted values) are normal and homoscedastic (residuals have the same variance). The residuals of the above equation appeared normal and homoscedastic.

We characterized the uncertainty of the predicted deposition (\hat{Y}) using a Student's distribution, with 26 degrees of freedom, multiplied by the estimated deviation of \hat{Y} (Foucart 1997). We have 26 degrees of freedom because we have 30 data to define a relationship that has 4 coefficients. The estimated deviation of \hat{Y} is a T distribution based on the residual estimation error from the regression model. The output of this relationship along with the error were used as inputs in the multimedia exposure model.

256 The media concentrations were calculated from the equations described in the Human Health 257 Risk Assessment Protocol (HHRAP) document (US EPA 2005). However, a supplemental 258 soil layer (between 0 to 10 cm from the surface) for predicting the forage contamination from 259 soil and additional classes of plants (fruit, leafy vegetables and fruit vegetables) were 260 introduced, as well as equations for plant contamination by irrigation and deposition of resuspended soil particles. The equations used for these pathways are those given in the 261 262 model ERMYN (US DOe 2003a). The concentrations in air, surface soil and plants yielded by the multimedia exposure model were used as input of the new version of IEUBK to obtain 263 the child blood lead distributions. 264

265 Values used for model parameters and inputs

Here we describe how we obtained model inputs. First we consider the period for which we had to model the doses adsorbed by children to estimate their blood lead level at the time of the biomonitoring campaign. Next we consider the case of source terms, lead chemical properties, environmental parameters, intake and uptake parameters. We developed probability distributions for each input parameter of both models.

271 Period for reconstructing children's intake

272 The half-life of lead in blood is estimated to be one month. Although lead is also stocked in 273 bones and slowly released in blood from there, it has been shown that a reduction of child 274 exposure brings out a rather fast reduction of the blood lead (Declercq and Ladrière 2004, 275 Khoury et al 2003). The conceptual model implemented in the IEUBK software also yields a 276 rapid reduction of the blood lead, once intake is stopped. We ran the IEUBK model for the 277 case of a child with a cumulative ingestion dose 3 times higher than the estimated 278 background intake in France for 3 years and then a dose equal to the background intake 279 (Glorennec 2007b). We found that one year after reducing the intake, the blood lead would be 280 only 2 percent higher than the one calculated with the background intake for 4 years. 281 Therefore, the efforts to reconstruct the intake doses were focused on a period starting from 282 spring 2001 to the date of the campaign of blood lead measurements. Beforehand, the 283 exposure conditions were assumed to be the same as those between January and June 2001.

284 Source term definition

285 <u>Model A</u>

A lognormal distribution was fitted to the observed lead concentrations in the first twenty centimeters of soil using a Z-plot chart. The resulting distribution with an arithmetic mean of 261 mg/kg and a standard deviation of 249 mg/kg was assigned to the root zone soil concentration parameter of CalTOX.

290 We estimate smelter stack emissions from monthly sampling of particule emissions, the 291 measurement of the lead concentration in the emitted particles and the duration of operation. 292 The smelter operators try to limit the fugitive emissions by keeping the pressure inside the 293 buildings lower than the outside pressure, washing outdoor surfaces daily and stocking raw 294 materials products and by-products indoors. Nevertheless, preliminary calculations performed 295 with an air dispersion model (CERC 2000) showed that the air concentrations and particle 296 fallout recorded downwind could not be explained purely by the recorded stack emissions 297 (Bonnard 2008). Therefore, we had to include fugitive emissions. We estimated them using 298 three methods (inverse modeling, predictions based on the emission factors and the 299 production rate, and a calculation based on the indoor air concentration in the buildings of the 300 factory and the ventilation rate) and obtained consistent estimates. With inverse modeling, we 301 calculated the volumetric flux required to get the best correlation between the air dispersion 302 model and the lead deposition measured during the campaign conducted in October and December 2005 and obtained a fugitive emissions estimate between 20 and 60 kg/month. Emissions factors for this type of facility and information on its production rate give fugitive emissions between 25 and 73 kg/month. Data on lead concentration measured in indoor air of the facility building with an assumption of a ventilation rate of 10 times per hour give a fugitive emissions estimate of 34 kg/month.

To cover the whole growing period of the local fruit and vegetables consumed till the date of the campaign of blood lead measurements, stack lead emissions were estimated from the data recorded from April 2001 to June 2002. As information on fugitive emissions is poor, a triangular distribution was assigned to the total atmospheric emissions from the estimates obtained for the stack and the fugitive emissions during this period. The mode is equal to 1,200 g/d, the minimum to 750 g/d and the maximum to 2,800 g/d.

For comparing the predicted vegetation concentrations with the observed ones, the emissions were estimated over the vegetation exposure period to fallout from the facility (from April to July, in 2002 to 2005). The total atmospheric emissions were defined by a triangular distribution with a mode of 780 g/d, a minimum of 450 g/d and a maximum of 1,450 g/d.

318 Model B

Spatial soil mean concentration in the land associated to each child's house was estimated by kriging. Cumulative distributions were defined for the surface soil and the root-zone soil owing to the turning band method (Chilès 1999, Bonnard 2008).

The atmospheric emissions were estimated from the statistical model described above (Equation 1) for each location and period used to represent the atmospheric deposition on the different kinds of home-grown plants.

325 Chemical properties for lead

326 <u>Model A</u>

With the exception of the particle-water partition coefficients, we used the default distributions provided by CalTOX for chemical properties—water solubility, partition coefficients, and bioconcentration factors. We found that the particle-water partition coefficient (Kd) in CalTOX was high relative to ranges found in other papers. Since Kd for lead is known to depend on the soil pH, we used the recommendations from the Office of Air and Radiation (US EPA 1999) and the pH values collected in the garden soils of the village to define a triangular distribution. The measured pH going from 5.3 to 8.3, the minimum and maximum values for the closest ranges of soil pH, given by the Office of Air and Radiation, were used as minimum and maximum of the distribution (150 L/kg and 23,279 L/kg respectively). The mode was assigned a value of 1731 l/kg, which was calculated from the modal value of measured pH and the Office of Air and Radiation's relationship between lead Kd and pH.

339 <u>Model B</u>

The parameters required for model B are the soil-plant transfer coefficients. To obtain values,
we made a review of the primary literature and fitted the resulting dataset of selected values
to a lognormal distribution (Table 2, Bonnard 2008).

343 Environmental parameters

344 <u>Model A</u>

For model A we used the default distributions provided by CalTOX for all environmental parameters except area, rainfall, wind speed, and temperature. We replaced distributions for these parameters in CalTOX by local data. In addition we replace the CalTOX root zone soil depth with a mean value equal to 0.2 m to be consistent with the measured data for the village.

350 Model B

351 Most of environmental parameters required in model B are different from those of CalTOX.

352 They mainly concern the description of the various categories of plants. Distributions were

defined from the literature (Table 2, Bonnard 2008).

354 Intake parameters

From birth to the age of 7, we defined plant consumption and lead exposure from local food consumption for seven age classes based on French surveys (Boggio et al. 1999, Volatier 2000).

For soil ingestion, we used the cumulative distribution provided by ISE with a median equal to 135 mg/d (US EPA 1994, 1999). We note that other distributions with lower median values were available from empirical data published by Thompson et al. (1991) and Stanek et al. (2000, 2001). However, the IEUBK model was calibrated with a point estimate equal to 135 mg/day. On the other hand, the lognormal distribution given in the ISE's model for soil ingestion, has a standard deviation that appears too low relative to what is available in the literature. For example, the ratio of the 95th percentile to the 5th percentile in the ISE

- 365 distribution is less than 2, indicating almost no inter-individual variability. We did use the366 ISE age-specific weighting factors for soil ingestion in our recoded version.
- For model B, we use observed individual data for each child from the study population to obtain the age, the seasonal variation in the percentage of home-grown fruits and vegetables consumed, and the date of moving into the village.

370 Uptake parameters

- The bioavailability of lead in food and the relative bioavailability of lead in soil were revisedto better reflect data documented in IEUBK for these factors.
- The range reported by the USEPA in the IEUBK guidance (US EPA 1994) for absorption of lead from diet is 42 to 53%. These values correspond to the 40th and 63th percentiles of the lognormal distribution defined in the ISE model for this parameter (mean = 0.5, standard deviation = 0.2). A lower value was used for the standard deviation (0.05 instead of 0.2) to get a distribution more in accordance with the range of data given above (in these conditions, the low and high values given by the US EPA correspond to the 5th and 75th percentiles of the new distribution defined for absorption of lead from diet).
- For the absolute bioavailability of soil lead, ISE gives a point estimate of 0.3, but no distribution is defined. We used the values collected by Ruby et al. (1999) for lead uptake from soils (data from wastes and mines were eliminated), to define a normal distribution with a mean equal to 0.6 and a standard deviation equal to 0.2 for the relative biovailability of soil lead. The mean of the soil lead absolute bioavailability obtained is then close to the point estimate used in the IEUBK and ISE models.

386 **Probabilistic computation**

All of our calculations were based on Monte Carlo simulations using 5000 runs with latinhypercube sampling. We used the Monte Carlo results to develop cumulative probability
distributions that can be compared to cumulative distributions of measured concentrations in
the media.

391 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

392 Model A

393 Because no significant statistical relationship between the blood level and the age could be 394 identified (Schmitt et al. 2002), we analysed the model's output as the mean of the blood lead 395 from 6 months to 7 years old.

Figure 1 shows the probability plot charts for the (base 10) logarithms of the observed and predicted concentrations in the different exposure media. On these charts, concentrations in the y-axis are represented against the cumulative probability expressed as the number of standard deviation from the geometric mean. For blood lead, figure 1e shows the concentrations calculated by the integrated model and those predicted with the new version of IEUBK from the measurements performed in the samples of surface soil, fruit and vegetables collected in the village.

The observed values in air, ground soil, exposed produce and blood lead tend to follow lognormal distributions. However, concentrations above 500 mg/kg in the ground soil deviate from a lognormal distribution (these values correspond to a small area close to the facility and without dwelling). The distributions of the calculated concentrations in air and ground soil also follow lognormal distributions, whereas the distributions of the predicted concentrations for the protected produce, the exposed produce and in particular for the blood lead are characterized by two different slopes, indicating bimodal distributions.

410 Except for the exposed produce, the median values predicted by the integrated model are in 411 good agreement with the observed medians. The predicted median-to-observed median ratios 412 are between 0.5 and 1.3 for these media. But for the exposed produce, the ratio is equal to 18.

413 Regarding distributions, the observed data for ground soil are well captured until 500 mg/kg. 414 The ranges of the observed and predicted values for the air concentrations are close and the 415 two distributions are quite similar. But for protected produce, the distribution of the observed 416 data is underestimated and above all the distribution of the observed concentrations for 417 exposed produce is overestimated. For blood lead, the variability of the calculated 418 concentrations is higher than that of the observed concentrations. In the case of 419 concentrations predicted from the measurements performed in the exposure media, blood lead concentrations are underestimated up to the 90th percentile, then they are overestimated. The 420

421 values predicted from the integrated model are higher and the difference between the two422 distributions of calculated concentrations increased with percentiles.

According to figure 2, even though emissions are primarily to air, inhalation of smelter
emissions is not a major exposure pathway, because most of the lead emitted to air deposits
to soil and from there is transferred to the children by various ingestion pathways.

426 When blood lead level is predicted from the exposure media concentrations, soil ingestion 427 appears as the predominant pathway in the upper percentiles of the distribution of the 428 predicted blood lead concentrations, as in former studies conducted near smelters (Roels 429 1980, Gulson 1994, Landrigan 1996). However, when blood lead level is calculated by the 430 integrated model, the ingestion of exposed produce appears as the main pathway in the last 431 quarter of the blood lead distribution. Therefore, the overestimation of the exposure produce 432 concentrations by the integrated model contributes to the overestimation of the upper part of 433 the distribution of blood lead concentrations calculated by this model.

Analysis of the contributions to exposed produce contamination showed that in the first part of the distribution, transfer from air and from surface soil to plants are higher than transfer from root-soil, and then the situation is reversed. In order to understand the reasons for the overestimation of the exposed produce concentrations, we carried out a sensitivity analysis with Crystal Ball. The parameters with the highest contributions to the variance are listed in Table 3 in descending order.

440 The distributions of the first two parameters with the highest sensitivity (particle-water 441 partition coefficient and root-soil concentration) were defined by taking into account specific 442 data from the studied site. The third parameter is the leaf wet density (rho_leaf). The default value, which was used in the computations, is equal to 820 kg/m^3 . With a volume fraction of 443 444 water in leaf (beta leaf) equal to 0.5, as provided in CalTOX, we get a value of 0.61 for the 445 mass water fraction (0.5 x 1000 / 820), whereas the data for the exposed produce (leafy 446 vegetables) collected in the gardens ranged from 0.77 to 0.98. So, we determined a new value 447 for the leaf wet density on the basis of the mean of the leaf mass water fraction measured in the collected samples of exposed produce (0.91). We found a value equal to 550 kg/m³. It 448 449 remains in accordance with the typical values used in models for leaf wet density, which are comprised between 500 and 900 kg/m³ (Riederer 1990, Paterson et al. 1991, Bacci et al. 450 451 1992, Trapp and McFarlane 1994, Maccrady and Maggard 1995).

As a result, the distribution obtained for the exposed produce concentrations (figure 3a, curve 3) is closer to that of the observed concentrations. The predicted concentrations were divided nearly by a factor 2, except at the lower tail of the distribution. The air, soil and protected concentration distributions were not altered by this change, but the variance in the predicted blood-lead concentrations (calculated by the integrated model from the predicted concentrations in produce) was reduced (figure 3b, curve 3).

For the other parameters pointed out in the sensitivity analysis, we found no new data justifying any modifications of the default values and significantly improving the prediction of the exposed produce concentrations.

461 But, we needed to consider that fruits and vegetables had been washed before the analyses 462 and recognized that the impact of washing is not considered in the model. Unfortunately, the 463 extent to which such a treatment reduces the contribution of air deposition and rain-splash to 464 fruit and vegetables contamination is not well known. In our model, if the contributions of 465 both these pathways are divided arbitrarily by ten, it appears clearly that the lower part of the 466 predicted distribution (figure 3a, curve 4) is closer to that of the observed concentrations data. 467 That means that the variability of the measurements is then captured better by the model. The 468 model over-predicts the concentration in exposed fruits and vegetables by a factor 4 at the 469 median, under these assumptions. The distribution of the blood lead concentrations predicted 470 from the integrated model is then very close to that predicted by the new version of IEUBK 471 from the exposure media measurements (figure 3b, curves 4 and 5).

472 Nevertheless, these two distributions still deviate from the distribution of the observed blood 473 lead at the upper percentiles and according to the integrated model, the ingestion of 474 homegown plants remains the main contributor to the blood lead level above the 90th 475 percentile. This is not in accordance with the result of the univariate analysis of observed data 476 showing a higher mean of blood lead concentration for no consumers of homegrown plants 477 (m=65 μ g/l, n=46) than for consumers (m=45 μ g/l, n=32), even if for the group of 478 homegrown plant consumers, the blood lead level increases with the fraction of homegrown 479 plants in the diet. The discrepancy between observed and predicted data may be due to the 480 fact that in the sample of children tested, those with the highest consumption of kitchen 481 garden foods were those living the farthest away from the facility, thereby with the lowest 482 lead concentrations in plants. In other words, the actual consumption of home-grown produce 483 in the village and its contribution to blood lead level at the upper percentiles is overestimated

484 by the model, which assumes a homogeneous distribution of the home-grown produce485 consumptions within the village.

486 Model B

The results of model B are provided in Figure 4 for nine children with one or two possible housing locations. We can see that the measured blood lead levels are between the 5th and the 95th percentiles of the model results, with the exception of child 43. The measured blood-lead levels correspond to various percentiles of the distribution given by the model, going from the 25th to the 88th percentile according to the different children. Measured values of blood lead above the predicted median ratios are between 0.7 and 1.7 (excluding the results corresponding to child 43).

Model B was able to capture the blood-lead-level ranges for the various conditions tested, except for child 43. The discrepancy between the model output and the blood lead level measured for this child may be explained by the fact that this child lived in an old house built before the ban of lead for interior paint. The univariate analysis of the data of the child biomonitoring and of the exposure survey showed a higher mean of blood lead concentration for children living in houses built before 1948 than for children living in more recent houses (DDASS 2002). But, this exposure pathway is not taken into account by the model.

501 It has to be noted that using either the Thompson et al. (1991) or Stanek et al. (2000, 2001) 502 distributions for soil ingestion, instead of a distribution centered on the value used to calibrate 503 IEUBK for soil ingestion, results in lower estimates of blood lead concentrations, especially 504 when the soil ingestion is a significant contributor to overall exposure. For example soil 505 ingestion dominates when the children consume few or no homegrown foods, and when the soil lead concentration is high. In the sub-sample tested here, the geometric mean of the 506 507 measured median above the calculated value ratio would increase from 1.1 to 1.3, if the 508 distribution used for the quantity of soil ingested was replaced by Thompson et al.'s 509 distribution and it would reach a higher value with Stanek et al.'s distribution.

510 Many studies showed a correlation between blood lead level and soil lead (Xintaras 1992, 511 Landrigan 1996, Mielke et al 1998). However, for instance, for child 71, who did not 512 consume kitchen garden plants and who lived close to the facility, model B indicates that 513 exposure due to ingestion of no local food is slightly higher than exposure due to soil 514 ingestion. This is certainly due to the fact that soil lead concentration at the location of his 515 house is not high (200-230 mg/kg) compared to values measured in these studies. For child 516 28, who ate a large amount of home-grown plants and lived relatively far from the facility, 517 the ingestion of homegrown produce appears as the largest contributor to the blood lead level. 518 Wilhem et al. (2005), who studied the dietary intake of lead by two groups of children living 519 in an industrial region, found no difference between those eating a large part of produce from 520 the families own vegetable garden and those consuming exclusively food from the 521 supermarket. But in this case, comparison was made at a region scale without an identified 522 local source of lead. Here, measures of concentrations in plants from child 28's garden would 523 be necessary to confirm the role played by the ingestion of homegrown plants.

524 The uncertainties in the modeled blood lead predictions for model B are reflected by the ratios of the 95th percentile to the 5th percentile, which are between 2.6 and 4.9. The widest 525 526 90% interval is given for child 28. In this case, the root soil concentration is the highest 527 contributor to the variance. This high contribution is due to a lack of precision in the 528 estimation of the root soil concentration in the child's living area. Increasing the sampling 529 efforts in soils of the farthest areas of the village could permit the reduction of the overall 530 uncertainty. Nevertheless, model B is able to predict blood lead distributions with lower variance than model A (the ratio of the 95th percentile to the 5th percentile is equal to 10.2 531 with model A). Indeed, model A has to integrate inter-individual variability in the input 532 533 distributions, while model B took it into account by a better description of the influence of 534 the distribution of lead deposition and individual characteristics.

535 Limitations of the comparison between predicted data and observed data

536 Finally, we acknowledge that the exercise of comparison made with both models may be 537 limited by the quality of data used to perform it.

538 Soil lead concentration appeared as the most sensitive parameter for the blood lead 539 predictions performed. However, it was measured in bulk soil and not in the finest particle 540 fraction, more likely representative of lead concentration in soil ingested by children. 541 Campaigns for soil lead and blood lead concentrations were not conducted at the same time. 542 (so, there is a possibility that soil was moved in some areas of the village between the two 543 campaigns) and soil lead was not measured in children's garden soil, but estimated by a 544 geostatistical study. Air emissions used in model A were also estimated from indirect 545 methods.

546 On the other hand, the lack of measurement data in the village for tapwater and lead 547 bioavailability, which is usually recognized as a determining factor for estimating blood lead 548 level (Mushak 1998), should not represent a major fault. For drinking water, the analysis of 549 observed data showed that there is no significant difference between blood lead level of 550 children drinking tapwater and those drinking bottled water. Lead bioavailability is not either 551 a very sensitive parameter in the previous calculations. The absolute lead bioavailability and 552 the relative bioavailability of soil lead together contribute to 3% of variance in model A and 553 to 7% in model B at the maximum.

554 **CONCLUSIONS**

555 Both models, built for estimating human health risks linked to future emissions of facilities, 556 may provide useful insight regarding source-to-dose relationships for the exposure 557 population. Model A may be used to address general trends and model B is more focused on 558 capturing individual exposures. In considering the results presented above, there is an issue 559 that cuts across our evaluation of the individual models and data. In this process, we 560 recognized that not only did we gain insight into the two models, but by making a systematic 561 comparison of two models with a specific case study, we gained important insights about lead exposure in these communities that could not be obtained from either model or the 562 563 environmental/biomonitoring data used in isolation. Thus we conclude that using the two 564 models together with population and site-specific measurements of blood lead and 565 environmental conditions provides for this population's lead exposure patterns a level of 566 understanding that could not be achieved with either model alone or with just the blood 567 surveillance measurements.

568 Model A provides an ability to capture the distributions of lead in the media and the blood 569 lead concentrations at a local scale. It offers the opportunity to identify the potential pathways 570 of concern and to characterize also the distribution of the exposure level. From the initial 571 results of the model, mainly used with default values, the information from the sensitivity 572 analysis and the pathway contributions evaluation help to identify the parameter 573 improvements needed to calibrate the model to this case. However, predicted concentrations 574 in exposed vegetables may be a potential source of overestimation of blood lead level.

575 Model B provides outputs that can be targeted to specific areas and exposure conditions. It 576 was set up to answer some questions, such as "in which zones or from which distance may 577 the consumption of home-grown produce raise a health concern ?" Because of this feature, 578 model B can predict blood lead distributions with lower variance than model A. Precision of 579 assessment is important for discriminating those situations that require management measures 580 from those which do not, especially if the risk management threshold is low. Although, the 581 results provided by model B, for contrasting exposure conditions, are in accordance with the 582 measurements (apart from a case that may involve an exposure pathway that is not considered 583 in the model), the low number of cases tested here does not enable to conclude on the 584 performances of model B at the moment.

585 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

586 The authors thank the local administration (Direction Régionale de l'Industrie, de la 587 Recherche et de l'Equipement de Champagne-Ardennes and Direction Départementale des 588 Affaires Sanitaires et Sociales des Ardennes), the director's facility, ATMO Champagne and 589 the company Gestion des sites et des sols contaminés (GSC) for communicating their data, as 590 well as the studied village inhabitants who permitted us to take samples from their garden and 591 the mayor for his help. We also thank F. Bois of Institute of Industrial Environment and 592 Risks for his review of the paper and M. Sohn of Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory for 593 his guidance and input on this work. R. Bonnard's work was supported by the French 594 Ministry of Ecology and Sustainable Development (Budget Civil de la Recherche et du 595 Développement, grant BRCD-03-DRC-06). T. McKone was supported in part by the US 596 Environmental Protection Agency through Interagency Agreement DW-988-38190-01-0 597 carried out through the US Department of Energy contract Grant No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. 598 McKone was also supported by Cooperative Agreement Number U19/EH000097-03 from the 599 US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

600 **REFERENCES**

- 601 Adriano DC. 1986. Trace elements in the terrestrial environment. Springer, New-York, USA
- AFNOR, NFX43-026. 1990. Qualité de l'air, Air ambiant, Détermination du plomb dans les
 aérosols, spectrométrie d'absorption atomique
- Alloway BJ. 1995. Heavy metals in soils, second edition. Blackie. Academic & Professional,
 London, United Kingdom
- APRIFEL (Agence fruits et légumes frais). 2008. Les fiches nutritionnelles par produit,
 <u>http://www.aprifel.com/fiches,produits,liste.php</u>

- Armitage J, Cousins I, Hauck M, Harbers J, Huijbregts M. 2007.Empirical evaluation of
 spatial and non-spatial European-scale multimedia fate models: results and implications
- 610 for chemicals risk assessment, Journal of Environment Monitoring, 9: 572-581
- ATMO-Champagne. 2002. Activity reports and publications available at <u>http://www.atmo-</u>
 <u>ca.asso.fr</u>
- Bacci E, Cerejeira M.J, Gaggi C, Vighi M. 1992. Chlorinated dioxins Volatilization from
 soils and bioconcentration in plant leaves. Bulletin of Environmental contamination and
 Toxicology 48: 401-408
- Baes C, Sharp A, Sjoreen A, Shor R. 1984. A review and analysis of parameters for assessing
 transport of environmentally released radionuclides through agriculture, Oak Ridge
 National Laboratory. USA
- 619 Biesiada M, Hubicki L. 1999. Blood lead levels in children: epidemiology vs. simulations. European
 620 Journal of Epidemiology 15(5):485-91
- Boggio, Grossiord, Guyon, Fuchs, Fantino. 1999. Consommation alimentaire des nourrissons
 et des enfants en bas âge en France en 1997. Archives Pédiatrique 6: 740-747
- Bonnard R. 2006. Estimation de l'impact des rejets des installations industrielles et utilisation
 de la démarche d'évaluation des risques à l'étranger, 76413/197, INERIS, Verneuil-en
 Halatte, France
- Bonnard R. 2008. Amélioration des méthodes d'estimation prospective des expositions des
 populations autour des ICPE. BCRD-03-DRC06, DRC-08-57041-14967A. Verneuil-enHalatte, France
- 629 CEN, EN 13346. 2000. Determination of trace elements and phosphorus aqua regia
 630 extraction methods
- 631 CERC (Cambridge Environmental Research Consultants). 2000. ADMS 3. Documentation
 632 available at <u>http://www.cerc.co.uk/software/pubs/ADMS3%20techspec.htm</u>
- 633 Chiles JP, Delfiner P. 1999. Geostatistics : modeling spatial uncertainty. Wiley. New-York,
 634 USA
- Cohen JT, Beck BD, Bowers TS, Bornschein RL, Calabrese EJ. 1998. An arsenic exposure
 model: Probabilistic validation using empirical data, Human and Ecological Risk
 Assessment 4 (2): 341-378
- 638 Decisoneering, Crystal Ball 7.2, Professional edition. 2005. Information available at
- 639 <u>http://www.decisioneering.com/crystal_ball/index.html</u>

- 640 Declercq C, Ladrière L. 2004. Programme de dépistage du saturnisme infantile dans 9
 641 communes du Nord et du Pas de Calais, CLIS Métaleurop, 29 Septembre 2004. France
- 642 Dor F., Empereur-Bissonnet P, Zmirou D, Nedellec V, Haguenor JM, Jongeneelen F, Person
 643 A., Dab W., Ferguson C. 2003. Validation of multimedia models assessing exposure to

644 PAH - The SOLEX study, Risk Analysis 23 (5): 1047 – 1057

- EC (European Commission). 2003. Technical Guidance Document on risk assessment of
 substances following European regulations and directives, Second edition, European
 Chemicals Bureau, Italy
- 648 EC (European Commission). 2004. European Union System for the Evaluation of Substances
 649 2.0 (EUSES 2.0). Prepared for the European Chemicals Bureau by the National Institute of

- 650 Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Bilthoven, The Netherlands. Available via
- the European Chemicals Bureau, Italy
- ECETOC (European Centre for Ecotoxicology and Toxicology of Chemicals). 1992.
 Technical report n°40, Hazard assessment of chemical contaminants in soil. Brussels,
 Belgium
- Ferguson CC. 1999. Assessing Risks from Contaminated Sites: Policy and Practice in 16
 European Countries, Land Contamination & Reclamation 7 (2): 33-54
- Foucart T. 1997. L'analyse des données, Mode d'emploi. Presses universitaires de Rennes.
 Rennes, France
- 659 GCNC (Groupe Chimique Nord-Cotentin). 2002. Impact des rejets chimiques, Vol. 2, annexe
- 4 : Bilan des informations disponibles sur les paramètres agronomiques pour le groupe
 radioécologique Nord-Cotentin. France
- Glorennec P, Declercq C. 2007a. Performance of several decision support tools for determining the
 need for systematic screening of childhood lead poisoning around industrial sites.
 European Journal of Public Health, 17(1):47-52
- Glorennec P, Bemrah N, Tard A, Robin A, Le Bot B, Bard D. 2007b. Probabilistic modeling
 of young children's overall lead exposure in France: Integrated approach for various
 exposure media. Environment.Internatrional (33):937-45
- Griffin S, Goodrum PE, Diamond. GL, Meylan W, Brattin WJ, Hassett JM. 1999.
 Application of a probabilistic risk assessment methodology to a lead smelter site, Human
 and Ecological Risk Assessment 5 (4): 845-868

- 671 GRNC (Groupe Radioécologique Nord-Cotentin). 2002. Analyse de sensibilité et
 672 d'incertitude sur le risque de leucémie attribuable aux installations nucléaires du Nord673 Cotentin, annexe 1 : Distributions de probabilité des paramètres. France
- Gulson BL, Mizon KJ, Law AJ, Korsch MJ, David JJ, CSIRO/D.E.G. 1994. Source and
 pathways of lead in humans from the Broken Hill mining community; an alternative use of
 exploration methods. Economic geology : July v. 89, n°4 : 889-908
- 677 Hogan K, Marcus A, Smith R, White P. 1998. Integrated exposure uptake biokinetic model
- 678 for lead in children: empirical comparisons with epidemiologic data. Environmental
 679 Health Perspectives 106 Suppl 6:1557-67
- 680 INERIS (Institut de l'Environnement Industriel et des Risques). 2003. Evaluation des risques
- 681 sanitaires dans les études d'impact des installations classées, Guide méthodologique,

682Verneuil-en-Halatte, France

- ISO 11885. 1996. Water quality Determination of 33 elements by inductively coupled
 plasma atomic emission spectroscopy
- Kabatia-Pendias A, Pendias H. 1992. Trace elements in soils and plants, second edition CRC
 Press, Boca. Raton, FL, USA
- Kawamoto K, Park KA. 2006. Calculation of environmental concentration and comparison of
 output for existing chemicals using regional multimedia modelling, Chemosphere: 1154 1164
- Khoury GA, Diamond GL. 2003. Risks to children from exposure to lead in air during
 remedial or removal activities at Superfund sites: a case study of the RSR lead smelter
 Superfund site. Journal of Exposure Analysis and Environmental Epidemiology.
 Jan;13(1):51-65
- Landrigan PJ. 1996. Lead levels, home dust, and proximity to lead smelters. Pediatrics
 97(4):603-4
- Luo Y, Yang X. 2007. A multimedia environmental model of chemical distribution: fate,
 transport, and uncertainty analysis, Chemosphere, 66: 1396-1407
- Maccrady JK, Maggard SP. 1995. Uptake and photodegration of 2,3,7,8 tetrachlorodibenzo dioxins sorbed to grass foliage. Environmental Science and Technology, 27: 343-350
- 700 McKone ET. 1993. A multimedia total exposure model for hazardous-waste sites. Part I-IV.
- 701 UCRL-CR-111456 . Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory. Livermore, USA

- McKone ET, Enoch KG. 2002. A multimedia total exposure. Spreadsheet user's guide
 Version 4.0. 47399. Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. Berkeley, CA, USA
- Mielke HW, Reagan PL. 1998. Soil is an important pathway of human lead exposure. Environmental
 Health Perspectives 106 Suppl 1:217-29
- Mushak P. 1998. Uses and limits of empirical data in measuring and modeling human lead exposure.
 Environmental Health Perspectives 106 Suppl 6:1467-84
- 708 NRC (National Research Council) Committee on Models in the Regulatory Decision Process.
- 2007. Models in Environmental Regulatory Decision Making. National Academies,
 Washington DC, USA
- 711 OEHHA (Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment). 2003. The Air Toxics Hot

712 Spots Program Guidance manual for preparation of health risk assessment, Oakland, USA

- Oreskes N. 1998. Evaluation (not validation) of quantitative models, Environmental Health
 Perspectives 6, supplement 6: 1453-1460
- Paterson SD, Mackay D, Gladman A. 1991. A fugacity model of chemical uptake by. plants
 from soil and air. Chemosphere 23: 539-565
- Prost R, Suivi de la qualité des sols et des végétaux autour de l'usine Métal Blanc à BourgFidèle, GSC (Gestion des sites et sols contaminés), campagne 2002, campagne 2003,
 campagne 2004, campagne 2005, Versailles, France
- 720 Roels HA, Buchet JP, Lauwerys RR, Bruaux P, Claeys-Thoreau F, Lafontaine A, Verduyn G.
- 1980, Exposure to lead by the oral and the pulmonary routes of children living in the
- vicinity of a primary lead smelter. Envrionmental research, June 22(1): 81-94
- Riederer M. 1990. Estimating partitioning and transport of organic chemicals in the foliage
 atmosphere system, Environmental Science and Technology 24: 829-837
- Ruby M, Schoof R, Brattin W, Goldade M, Post G., Harnois M, Mosby D, Caastel S, Berti
 W, Carpentier M, Edwards D, Cragin D, Chappel W. 1999. Advances in evaluating the
 oral bioavailability of inorganics in soil for use in human health risk assessment,
 Environmental Science & Technology 33 (21): 3697-3705

- 729 Schmitt M, Deshayes F, Fradet MR, Coquet S, Brun N, Humbert B. 2002. Nouvelle enquête
- sur l'imprégnation saturnine des enfants de Bourg-Fidèle. DRASS de Lorraine, CIRE Est,
- 731 DDASS des Ardennes, InVS, France
- 732 Schwartz S. 2000. Quality assurance of exposure models for environmental risk assessment
- of substances. Doctorat thesis. Institute of Environmental Systems Research, University of
 Osnabrück. Osnabrück, Germany
- 735 SRC (Syracuse Research Corporation). 2003. ISE, Biokinetic model for lead, Windows
 736 version 1.41.
- 737 Stanek E, Calabrese E. 2000. Daily soil ingestion for children at Superfund site, Risk
 738 Analysis, Vol. 20, 5: 627-635
- Stanek E, Calabrese E, Zorn M. 2001. Soil ingestion distributions for Monte Carlo risk
 assessment in chidren, Human and Ecological Risk Assessment 7 (2): 357-368
- Statsoft, Statistica, Edition 99 for Windows, Kernel version 5.5. Information available at
 http://www.statsoft.com
- Thompson K, Burmaster D. 1991. Parametric distributions for soil ingestion by children,
 Risk Analysis 11 (2): 339-342
- Trapp S, McFarlane J. 1994. Plant contamination: modelling and simulation of organic
 chemical processes. Springer. Osnabrück, Germany
- Tremel-Shaub A, Feix I. 2005. Contamination des sols. Transferts des sols vers les plantes,
 EDP Sciences / ADEME. Paris, France
- US DOe (US Department of Energy). 2003a. Biosphere model report. MDL-MGR-MD000001 Rev00. Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management. USA
- US DOe (US Department of Energy). 2003b. Soil-related input parameters for the biosphere
 model. Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management. ANL-NSB-MD-000009
 Rev00. USA
- US DOe (US Department of Energy). 2003c. Environmental transport input parameters for
 the biosphere model, ANL-MGR-MD-000007 REV 01, 2003 b. Office of Civilian
 Radioactive Waste Management. USA
- 757 US DOe (US Department of Energy). 2004. Agricultural and environmental input parameters
- 758 for the biosphere model. ANL-MGR-MD-000006 Rev02. Office of Civilian Radioactive
- 759 Waste Management. USA

- US EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 1994. Guidance manual for the Integrated
 Exposure Uptake Biokinetic model for lead in children. PB93-963510. Office of Solid
 Waste and Emergency Response. Washington, DC, USA
- US EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 1999a. Geochemistry and available Kd
 Values for Selected Inorganic Contaminants. Vol. 2, EPA-402-R-99-004b. Office of Air
 and Radiation, Washington, DC,USA
- US EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 1999b. Short sheet: IEUBK model
 soil/dust ingestion rates. EPA #540-F-00-007. Office of Solid Waste and Emergency
- 768 Response. Washington, DC, USA
- 769 US EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 2005. Human Health Risk Assessment
- Protocol for hazardous waste combustion facilities (HHRAP). EPA/530/R-05-006. Office
 of Solid Waste. USA
- US EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency), Waste and cleanup risk assessment, Office
 of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, USA. Available at
- 774 http://www.epa.gov/oswer/riskassessment/risk_superfund.htm
- Volatier R. 2000. Enquête I individuelle et nationale sur les consommations alimentaires,
 Editions Technique & Documentation, ISBN : 2-7430-0426-6. Editions Tec&Doc, France.
- White PD, Van Leeuwen P, Davis BD, Maddaloni M, Hogan KA, Marcus AH, Elias RW. 1998.
 The conceptual structure of the integrated exposure uptake biokinetic model for lead in children.
 Environmental Health Perspectives 106 Suppl 6:1513-30
- WHO (World Health Organization). 1993. Guidelines for drinking water quality. Vol. 1.
 Recommendations. Geneva, Switzeland
- WHO (World Health Organization). 2000. Air quality guidelines for Europe, Second edition,
 WHO regional publications, European series, 91. Copenhagen, Danemark
- Wilhelm M, Wittsiepe J, Schrey P, Hilbig A, Kersting M. 2005. Consumption of homegrown
- products does not increase dietary intake of arsenic, cadmium, lead, and mercury by young
- children living in an industrialized area of Germany. Science of Total Environment 343(1-3):61-70
- Xintaras C. 1992. Analysis Paper : Impact of lead-contaminated soil on public health.
 ATSDR. Atlanta
- 790 Zupan M, Hudnik V, Lobnik F, Kadunc V. 1995. Accumulation of Pb, Cd and Zn from
- contaminated soil to various plants and evaluation of soil remediation with indicator plant

(Plantago lanceolata L.). Contaminated soils: International conference on the
biogeochemistry of trace elements, 15/05/95, Paris, France. Proceedings 85: 325-335

Table 1: Cases investigated with the model B (because of uncertainty in the location of some

children's houses, there are two entries for some children based on two different assumptions

798	for soil co	oncentrations	and lead	deposition	around	their h	ouses)
-----	-------------	---------------	----------	------------	--------	---------	--------

child number	age	blood lead	distance to	% home-	% of home-	surface soil lead
identification	(month)	(µg/l)	the stacks	grown	grown	concentration in the
			(m)	fruit	vegetables	location of the child's
						home (mg/kg)
48	33	67.1	250	0	50	173
28	47	83.1	900	75	75	96
28	47	83.1	900	75	75	84
27	74	41.5	900	62.5	75	96
27	74	41.5	900	62.5	75	84
41	52	31.9	450	10	20	112
41	52	31.9	450	10	20	103
71	65	44.7	150	0	0	203
71	65	44.7	150	0	0	227
90	37	44.7	300	0	0	261
90	37	44.7	300	0	0	174
97	81	22.3	1000	0	0	66
43	21	108.7	450	0	0	112
43	21	108.7	450	0	0	103
50	71	99.1	200	0	0	493
1	1					

	lieu to environnentur una enernieur pu		
Parameters	Distributions	References	
Fraction of wet deposition that adheres to plant surfaces (-)	U (0.15, 1) ^a	GRNC 2002, US EPA 2005	
Deposition velocity of	CUM ^b	US DOe 2003b, c	
particles (m/s)	perc. ^c 0: 3 E-4 perc. 84: 3 E-2		
	perc. 16: 1 E-3 perc. 100: 3 E-1		
	perc. 50: 8 E-3		
Atmospheric mass loading of particles (kg/m ³)	T (2.5 E-8, 1.2 E-7, 2.0 E-7)	ECETOC 1992, US DOe 2003b, c	
	Yield of crops (kg/m ²)		
Leafy vegetables	U (0.1, 0.5)	GRNC 2002, US DOe 2004	
Fruiting vegetables	U (0.1, 0.3)	US DOe 2004	
Fruits	U (0.2, 0.5)	GCNC 2002, US DOe 2004	
	Plant surface loss coefficient (year ⁻¹)		
Leafy vegetables	T (11, 26, 51) ^d	GRNC 2002	
Fruiting vegetables and fruits	T (8.4, 18, 51)	US DOe 2004	
L	ength of plant exposure to deposition (yea	r)	
Leafy vegetables	T (0.12, 0.21, 0.58)	GCNC 2002, GRNC 2002,	
Fruiting vegetables and fruits	T (0.16, 0.25, 0.41)	US EPA 2005	
Interc	eption fraction of the edible portion of cro	ops (-)	
Leafy vegetables	T (0.10, 0.20, 0.50)	Baes 1984, GCNC 2002, GRNC 2002. US EPA 2005	
Fruiting vegetables	T (0.03, 0.15, 0.15)	Baes 1984, US EPA 2005	
Fruits	T (0.03, 0.10, 0.10)		
	Dry matter of plants (%)		
Leafy vegetables	T (0.05, 0.07, 0.12)	Baes 1984. US DOe 2004. APRIFEL 2008	
Fruiting vegetables	T (0.04, 0.07, 0.10)		
Fruits	T (0.10, 0.15, 0.18)		
Root-vegetables	T (0.12, 0.20, 0.22)		
Soil to pla	nt transfer coefficients (kg dry matter / kg	dry matter)	
Leafy vegetables	LN (3.0 E-2, 4.6 E-2, 9.6 E-4, 2.8 E-1) ^e	Tremel-Schaub and Feix 2005, Zupan et al. 1995	
Fruiting vegetables and fruits	LN (4.2 E-3, 3.1 E-3, 6.1 E-4, 1.9 E-2)		
Root-vegetables	LN (5.7 E-3, 7.3 E-3 , 2.7 E-4, 4.5 E-2)		

801 Table 2: Distributions assigned to environmental and chemical parameters of model B

^a U (x1, x2) specifies a uniform distribution with minimum x1 and maximum x2.

^b CUM stands for cumulative distribution. 803

804

^c perc. stands for percentile. ^d T (x1, x2, x3) specifies a triangular distribution with minimum x1, mode x2 and maximum x3. 805

^e LN (x1, x2, x3, x4) specifies a lognormal distribution with mean x1, standard deviation x2, lower 806 truncation limit x3 and upper truncation limit x4. 807

Table 3: Input parameters with the highest sensitivity on exposure produce concentrations in model A 810

Parameters	Symbols	Rank correlation coefficients between the	
		input parameter and exposure produce	
		concentration	
Particle-water partition	Kd	-0.44	
coefficient			
Concentration in root-zone soil	Cs	0.41	
Leaf wet density	rho_leaf	0.37	
Primary production dry	veg_prod	0.31	
vegetation			
Rainsplash	rainsplash	0.21	
Leaf surface erosion half-life	Thalf_le	0.14	
Stem wet density	rho_stem	-0.12	
Annual average precipitation	rain	0.11	
Ambient environmental	Temp	-0.09	
temperature			
Land surface runoff	runoff	0.09	



813

Figure 1 : Distributions of the measured concentrations and of the concentrations calculated with model A in air (a), ground soil (b), exposed produce (c), protected produce (d) and blood lead (e) (for blood lead, concentrations were also predicted by IEUBK from measurements in the other media)



821 Figure 2: Contributions of the exposure routes to the lead uptake according to model A



Figure 3: Distributions of the measured concentrations and of the concentrations calculated in
exposed produce (a) and blood lead (b) before and after correcting the value of leaf wet

827 density in model A

